ADVENT INSURANCE PCC LIMITED GROUP AND SOLO SOLVENCY AND FINANCIAL CONDITION REPORT

YEAR ENDED 31ST DECEMBER 2019

- T.

Table of Contents

A.	1	NT	RODUCTION
Β.	E	305	INESS AND EXTERNAL ENVIRONMENT7
1		Le	gal status and registered address7
2	2.	0	wnership structure
	3.	Tł	nird party ownership of third party cellular shares8
4	1.	A	ctivities of the Core8
5	5.	A	ctivities of the Cells8
6	5.	R	elated Party Transactions9
	7.	P	erformance from underwriting activities – PCC Solo Data9
8	3.	P	erformance from underwriting activities – PCC Group Data12
9	Э.	P	erformance from investment activities13
			PCC Solo Finance and Investment gains13
		1.	PCC Group Finance and Investment gains13
3	10	2	Other Income14
1	11		Operating and Other Expenses14
		Ι.	PCC Solo Operating and Other Expenses14
		II.	PCC Group Operating / other expenses15
	12	55	Covid-10 Impact Statement15
C.		SYS	TEM OF GOVERNANCE
	1.	G	eneral Governance System15
		I.	The Board of Directors of the AIHL15
		II.	The Board of Directors of the PCC15
		III.	Underwriting Committee16
		IV.	Audit Committee
		V.	Remuneration Committee16
		VI.	Management structure and key functions16
		VII	. Cell Committees
		VII	I. Adequacy of the System of Governance17
		IX	Fit and Proper Processes and Procedures17
D.		RIS	K MANAGEMENT SYSTEM17
	1.	F	Responsibility
	2.	0	Suiding policies

	3.	Risk Management Strategy: Risk Register and Risk Matrix	18
	4.	Strategy for managing Insurance and Investment Risks	18
	5.	Strategy for managing other risks	19
	6.	Monitoring and reporting of risk positions	19
	7.	Adequacy of Risk Management System	19
E.		OWN RISK AND SOLVENCY ASSESSMENT	20
	1.	ORSA Objectives	20
	2.	ORSA Principles	20
	3.	ORSA Frequency	20
	4.	ORSA Process	20
F.		INTERNAL CONTROL SYSTEM	21
	1.	Internal Control System Responsibility	21
	2.	Local Managers	21
	3.	Cell Committees	.22
	4.	Compliance Function	.22
	5.	External oversight	.22
	6.	Adequacy of Internal Control System	.22
G		INTERNAL AUDIT FUNCTION	.22
	1.	Independence of Internal Audit Function	.22
	2.	Reporting to the Board	.23
	3.	Internal Audit responsibilities and scope	.23
Н	•	ACTUARIAL FUNCTION	.23
	1.	Implementation and Objectivity	.23
	2.	Responsibilities	.23
l.		OUTSOURCING	.24
	1.	Outsourcing in Malta	.25
J.		RISK PROFILE	.26
	1.	Underwriting Risk	.26
		I. PCC Solo Underwriting Risk Profile	.26
		II. PCC Group Underwriting Risk Profile	.27
		III. Core Underwriting Risk Profile	.27
		IV. Absolut Cell underwriting risk profile	.28
		V. AIF Cell underwriting risk profile	28

	VI.	UIB Cell underwriting risk profile	28
	VII.	Freedom Health Cell Underwriting risk profile	29
	VIII	. Finance One Cell Underwriting risk profile	30
	IX.	Underwriting Risk Sensitivities for Cells and Core	30
2.	N	1arket risks	30
	1.	PCC Solo Market Risks	30
	II.	PCC Group Market Risks	30
	111.	Market Concentration Risk	30
	IV.	Interest rate risk	30
	۷.	Currency risk	31
	VI.	Price risk	31
3.	Cre	dit (Counter-party Default) Risk	31
	1.	Exposure by Holding Company, Cells and Core	31
	11.	Management of Credit Risk	31
	III.	Assessment and risk mitigation used for credit risks	31
	IV.	Risk sensitivity testing for credit risks	32
4	•	Liquidity Risk	32
5	•	Operational Risk	32
	I.	Assessment of exposures	32
	П.	Risk mitigation techniques used for operational and outsourcing risks	33
	111.	Risk sensitivity for operational risks	33
6	•	Compliance and Regulatory Risk	34
7		PCC Risk	34
	l.	PCC Strategy Risk	34
	II.	PCC Structural Risk	35
	III.	PCC Reputational Risk	35
8		External Risk Factors	35
	I.	Economic Deterioration	35
	II.	Brexit	36
К.	RE	GULATORY BALANCE SHEET	36
1	/	Assets – PCC Solo & Group	36
2	. 1	Technical Provisions	38
Э	s. (Other liabilities	43

4. Any other disclosures44
L. CAPITAL MANAGEMENT
1. Function of Capital Management45
2. Capital Management methods employed45
3. Own Funds – PCC Solo and PCC Group46
I. Own Funds Management Objectives46
II. Structure of Own Funds46
4. MCR and SCR50
I. Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR) – PCC Solo and PCC Group
II. Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR) – PCC Solo
III. Minimum Consolidated Group SCR – PCC Group55
IV. Any other disclosures55
5. Quantitative Reporting Templates56
I. Own Funds – PCC Solo (S.23.01.01)56
III. Balance Sheet – PCC Solo (S.02.01.02)58
III. Technical Provisions by Line of Business – PCC Solo (S.17.01.01)
IV. Overall SCR Calculation – PCC Solo61
V. MCR Calculation – PCC Solo (S.28.01.01)62
VI. Own Funds – PCC Group (S.23.01.22)63
VII. Balance Sheet – PCC Group (S.02.01.02)66
VIII. Overall SCR Calculation – PCC Group69

A. INTRODUCTION

This report provides the **Solo SFCR data** in relation to the business and performance of Advent Insurance PCC Limited, its System of Governance, Risk Profile, Valuation for Solvency Purposes and Capital Management (the PCC). The report's purpose is to assist interested parties in understanding the capital position of the PCC.

In addition to the **Solo SFCR data** for Advent Insurance PCC Limited and all of its constituent cells, this report also encompasses the **Group SFCR data**, where relevant, for the Advent Insurance PCC Group ("the PCC Group") which is comprised of:

Advent International Holdings Limited (formerly AIF Holdings Limited) Advent Insurance PCC Limited – for its ordinary non-cellular shares Advent Insurance PCC Limited – AIF Cell Advent Insurance PCC Limited – Finance One Cell

Advent International Holdings Limited (AIHL) is a company registered in Malta, incorporated on 4th March 2011. Its Directors consider that its ultimate controlling party is Abbey International Finance Limited, a company registered in Ireland.

Advent Insurance PCC Limited ('the PCC') was authorised on 29th March 2011 in Malta to carry on the business of insurance under all classes of general business and is registered in Malta under registration number C52394. The PCC is a subsidiary of AIHL. The PCC was established to provide insurance services, from its core and individual cells, into EU or EEA States pursuant to article 4 of the Insurance Business Act and regulation 10 of Part II of the European Passport Rights for Insurance and Reinsurance Undertakings Regulations, 2004. As a Protected Cell Company, the PCC is bound by the Companies Act (Cell Companies Carrying on Business of Insurance) Regulations, 2010 (The PCC Regulations).

The PCC's authorised share capital consists of 7 classes of "cell shares", each class being in respect of one of the PCC's seven cells, and €4,440,000 ordinary shares of €1 each, of which €4,070,000 is paid up.

The relationship between the PCC and the cell shareholders is governed by cell shareholder agreements supported by Malta's PCC Regulations. The PCC Regulations specifically provide for the legal segregation of assets and liabilities between various cells in a Protected Cell Insurance Company. The cellular assets of any individual cell may only be used to satisfy the liabilities of that cell. The PCC's non-cellular assets can be secondarily used to satisfy the cellular liability, in the event that the cellular assets attributable to the relevant cell have been exhausted. Cellular assets not attributable to the relevant cell may not be used to satisfy that cell's liability. Further, any liability not attributable to a particular cell is the sole liability of the company's non-cellular assets.

This Solvency & Financial Condition Report ('SFCR') is produced as part of the reporting requirements under Solvency II, a European directive implemented in Malta under various instruments including:

- SL 403.20 Insurance Business (Phasing-In) Regulations, 1st April, 2015, 1st July 2015,
- SL 403.23 Insurance Business (Solvency II Transitional Provisions) Regulations, January 2016, and:
- SL.403.21 Insurance Business (Commission Delegated Regulation on Solvency II) Regulations, January 2016

B. BUSINESS AND EXTERNAL ENVIRONMENT

1. Legal status and registered address

The PCC was established as a Protected Cell insurance undertaking in Malta to exercise passport rights to provide insurance services, from its Core and individual Cells, into EU or EEA States. Its registered address is: The Landmark, Level 1, Suite 2, Triq I-Iljun, Qormi QRM 3800, Malta.

2. Ownership structure

The PCC's ordinary non-cellular shares are wholly owned by Advent International Holdings Limited ("AIHL"), (formerly AIF Holdings Ltd), a company registered in Malta. The directors consider that the ultimate controlling party is Abbey International Finance Limited, a company registered in Ireland. The Group structure is as follows:



The cellular shares of AIF Cell and Finance One Cell also have the above ownership structure.

As Advent International Holdings Limited, Advent Insurance PCC Limited core (for ordinary shares excluding third party cellular shares), Finance One Cell and AIF Cell share common

ownership, they comprise the PCC Group. In addition to the Solo data provided herein for the PCC, data pertaining to the PCC Group will also be provided in this report.

For the purposes of group solvency, method 1 – accounting consolidation based method – has been used.

3. Third party ownership of third party cellular shares

The cellular shares of the other cells are owned by third parties who are unrelated to Advent Insurance PCC Limited or its holding or parent companies.

4. Activities of the Core

During 2019 the PCC's core continued to write the French loss of rent cover. However, it ceased to write Spanish missed flight cover on 31st March 2019).

5. Activities of the Cells

At 31st December 2019 the PCC had four operational cells, open for business:

- Absolut Cell: This cell provide, under general insurance Class 16 (Miscellaneous Financial Loss), travel insurance to customers based primarily in Belgium, plus Loss of Licence insurance (affiliated risk)
- UIB Cell: This sells, under general insurance Classes 1 (Accident) and 2 (Sickness), income protection insurance to customers based in the UK.
- Unlimited Care Cell: This was authorised by the MFSA during 2016 to sell, under general insurance Classes 1 (Accident) and 2 (Sickness), private medical Insurance, to customers based in Portugal. The cell began underwriting during 1st quarter 2018.
- Autorama Cell: This commenced operations during 2018. The cell writes motor guaranteed auto protection, return to invoice finance 'gap' cover, and property damage for business equipment. Its market is the UK.

Cells in run-off and pending closure:

- Freedom Health Cell: This sells, under general insurance Classes 1 (Accident) and 2 (Sickness), private medical Insurance to customers based in the UK and Germany. The cell has ceased writing new business with effect from 1st March 2019 and is in run-off
- AIF Cell: All of this cell's underwriting liabilities were considered fully expired at 31st December 2017. The cell will close during 2020
- Finance One Cell: This provided, under general insurance Class 15 (Suretyship), various types of bonds, mainly construction, performance and custom bonds, to customers based primarily in Ireland. The cell ceased writing business at 31st December 2017 and went into run-off. On 31st December 2019 it finalised a portfolio transfer of its insurance liabilities to Advent Insurance dac in Ireland. The cell will close during 2020.

6. Related Party Transactions

During 2019 the PCC entered into various transactions with parties which are subject to common control. All transactions were conducted within the normal course of business.

The legal firm of one of the Directors is the appointed legal advisor of the Company. The fees charged by the legal firm are separate from the emoluments paid to the Director concerned.

7. Performance from underwriting activities - PCC Solo Data

The PCC's underwriting performance in the past two years is summarised below by Line of business and geographical area. The underwriting performance information given in this section is on an IFRS basis consistent with the PCC's published Annual Report. The PCC's functional currency is Euro, although two cells operate in GBP.

			PCC SOLO	DATA – TECHI	PCC SOLO DATA – TECHNICAL RESULT - YEAR ENDED 31ST DECEMBER 2019 (EURO)	R ENDED 31	ST DECEMBER	2019 (EURO)			
	CREDIT & SURETYSHIP	PROPERTY		A	VIISCELLANEOUS FINANCIAL LOSS	IANCIAL LOS	S	MEDICAL EXPENSES	EXPENSES	INCOME	TOTALS
GEOGRAPHICAL AREA	IRELAND	FRANCE	лĸ	FRANCE	UK	SPAIN	BELGIUM	Ň	PORTUGAL	UK	
Premiums Earned	233,679	51,088	33,718	970,672	504,642	48,443	10,349,893	5,270,768	161,576	6,635,082	24,259,561
Reinsurance Outwards	-242,621	0		0		0	0	-890,349	0	-18,798	-1,151,768
Gross Claims Incurred	-81,788	-28,737	-15,174	-546,003	-136,253	-25,899	-2,941,161	-3,490,063	-1,139	-954,102	-8,220,319
Net Operating Expenses	-225,771	-12,772	-1,985	-242,668	-290,777	-7,819	-588,099	-1,942,862	-125,519	-3,717,745	-7,156,017
Other Technical Income	58,803	0		0		0	0	1,068,820	0	4	1,127,623
Technical UW Result	-257,698	9,579	16,560	182,001	77,612	14,725	6,820,633	16,314	34,918	1,944,437	8,859,081

		PC	C SOLO DA	PCC SOLO DATA – TECHNICA	AL RESULT - YEAR ENDED 31ST DECEMBER 2018 (EURO)	ENDED 31ST D	DECEMBER 201	18 (EURO)			
	CREDIT & SURETYSHIP	PROPERTY	Ύ	SIM	ISCELLANEOUS FINANCIAL LOSS	NANCIAL LOSS		MEDICAL EXPENSES	MEDICAL	INCOME	TOTALS
GEOGRAPHICAL AREA	IRELAND	FRANCE	NK	FRANCE	UK	SPAIN	BELGIUM	NK	PORTUGAL	UK	
Premiums Earned	706,015	41,758		793,405	69,506	89,207	10,492,884	8,512,899	58,652	5,468,995	26,233,322
Reinsurance Outwards	42,420	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1,916,201	0	-16,955	-1,890,736
Gross Claims Incurred	-1,259,018	-23,799	0	-452,191	-18,810	-28,413	-3,131,540	-4,680,494	-629	-1,371,423	-10,966,317
Net Operating Expenses	-201,210	-10,440	0	-198,349	-41,124	-52,668	-210,375	-5,030,890	-44,570	-3,396,326	-9,185,952
Other Technical Income	129,665	0	0	0		0		1,739,000		-	1,868,665
Technical UW Result	-582,128	7,519	0	142,865	9,572	8,126	8,126 7,150,969	-1,375,686	13,453	684,291	6,058,981

10

Overall, earned premium decreased by 8% from 2018, brought about by reductions in UK medical expenses business and the Irish credit and suretyship business. The Belgian travel business also saw a very slight reduction over 2018. These reductions were offset to some extent by increases in other lines. The consolidated technical underwriting result inproved by 52% over 2018 largely contributed to by a material increase in the UK income protection business and improved technical results in other lines, although the Belgian travel business had a small profit reduction in 2019

8. Performance from underwriting activities – PCC Group Data

	CREDIT & SURETYSHIP	CREDIT & SURETYSHIP	PROPERTY	PROPERTY	MISC	ELLANEOUS FI	MISCELLANEOUS FINANCIAL LOSS		TOTALS	TOTALS
	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019		2018	18	2019	2018
GEOGRAPHICAL AREA	IRELAND	IRELAND	FRANCE	FRANCE	FRANCE	SPAIN	FRANCE	SPAIN		No. of States of
Premiums Earned	233,679	706,015	51,088	41,758	970,672	48,443	793,405	89,207	1,303,882	1,630,385
Reinsurance Outwards	-242,621	42,420	0	0	0	0	0	0	-242,621	42,420
Gross Claims Incurred	-81.788	-1,259,018	-28,737	-23,799	-546,003	-25,899	-452,191	-28,413	-682,427	-1,763,421
Net Operating Expenses	-225.771	-201,210	-12,772	-10,440	-242,668	-7,819	-198,349	-52,668	-489,030	-462,667
Other Technical Income	58,803	129,665	0	0	0	0	0	0	58,803	129,665
Technical UW Result	-257.698	-582,128	9,579	7,519	182,001	14,725	142,865	8,126	-51,393	-423,618

The Group's earned premiums fell by 20% over 2018, mainly brought about by reductions in the Irish surety business and Spanish missed flight business. These reductions were offset by an increase in the earned premium of the French loss of rent business. The consolidated Group technical underwriting result improved with increases across the Board over 2018.

9. Performance from investment activities

I. PCC Solo Finance and Investment gains

The finance income and investment gains earned by the PCC during 2019, compared with 2018, are summarised in the following tables.

PCC SOLO – INVESTMENT INCOME FOR	YEAR ENDED 3	1.12.2019	
Income	Core	All Cells	TOTALS
Income on Cash at Bank	260	15,107	15,367
Investment Income including Group Loans	47,177	289,474	336,651
Net gains on Investments	56,746	-5,892	50,854
Realised FX gains and losses	0	-21,680	-21,680
Total	104,183	277,009	381,192

PCC SOLO – INVESTMENT INCOME FOR	YEAR ENDED 3	1.12.2018	
Income	Core	All Cells	TOTALS
Income on Cash at Bank	119	5,448	5,567
Investment Income including Group Loans	40,927	280,770	321,697
Net gains on Investments	187,254	53,983	241,237
Realised FX gains and losses	0	-8,180	-8,180
Total	228,300	332,021	560,321

The main source of investment income was from interest on group loans.

II. PCC Group Finance and Investment gains

PCC GROUP – INVESTME	NT INCOME FOR Y	EAR ENDED 31.1	2.2019	
Income	AHL	Core	Group Cells	TOTALS
Income on Cash at Bank	3	260	485	748
Investment Income including Group Loans		47,177	80,385	127,562
Net gains on Investments		56,746	0	56,746
Realised FX gains and losses		0	-22,483	-22,483
Total	3	104,183	58,387	162,573

PCC GROUP – INVESTME	NT INCOME FOR	EAR ENDED 31.1	2.2018	
Income	AHL	Core	Group Cells	TOTALS
Income on Cash at Bank	137	119	373	629
Investment Income including Group Loans		40,927	74,595	115,522
Net gains on Investments	92,951	187,254	0	280,205
Realised FX gains and losses		0	55,781	55,781
Total	93,088	228,300	130,749	452,137

10.Other Income

The core earned facility fees of €254,606 in 2019, compared with €216,435 in 2018.

11.Operating and Other Expenses.

I. PCC Solo Operating and Other Expenses

	PCC SOLO	OPERATING	AND OTHER E	XPENSES		
	Core 2019	Core 2018	Cells 2019	Cells 2018	Total 2019	Total 2018
Acquisition costs	281,337	256,829	5,827,710	6,546,173	6,109,047	6,803,002
Novation loss	-	-	361,777		361,777	
Profit Commission	- 1 ⁰	-	153,369	142,281	153,369	142,281
Claims Handling fee	2,390	4,630	595,156	507,900	597,546	512,530
Administration Expenses	498,743	273,437	887,095	928,933	1,385,838	1,202,370
Totals	782,470	534,896	7,825,107	8,125,287	8,607,577	8,660,183

The acquisition costs and claims handling fees expensed during 2019 represent commissions paid to agents for introducing the business and for the provision of services including claims handling. These costs are included in the technical underwriting results. The technical underwriting results also include a novation loss which arose from the portfolio transfer from the Finance One Cell to Ireland in 2019. Administration expenses are non-underwriting overheads included in the non-technical profit and loss account.

PCC GROUP OPERATING AND OTHER EXPENSES								
	AIHL		Core		Group Cells		Totals	
	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018
Acquisition costs		-	281,337	256,829	62,886	399,881	344,223	656,710
Novation Loss					361,777		361,777	
Claims Handling fee	1. C-1. 1.		2,390	4,630	-	-	2,390	4,630
Administration Expenses	21,188	17,621	498,743	273,437	81,962	136,878	601,893	427,936
Totals	21,188	17,621	782,470	534,896	506,625	536,759	1,310,283	1,089,276

II. PCC Group Operating / other expenses

The changes in acquisition costs and commissions received in 2019, over 2018, are generally in line with movements in earned premium. The novation loss arising from the portfolio transfer from Finance One Cell to Ireland was also incurred at group level.

12.Covid-10 Impact Statement

In the first quarter of 2020 a new pandemic, Covid-19, has caused widespread curtailment of economic, social and cultural activities, with local and international travel restrictions put in place by governments globally. Although the economic fall-out resulting from these restrictions is expected to affect the Company's business during 2020, the Directors believe the Company can absorb the impact, and that its status as a going concern will not be affected. While some parts are exposed to higher loss ratios, in other areas there may be a reduction in losses due to restrictions in travel. Some cells are expected to see a contraction in premium income due to the economic downturn. However, based on current information, it is expected that this economic impact will be mitigated by governmental stimulus measures, and that there will be a return to normal activity, and growth, by early 2021.

C. SYSTEM OF GOVERNANCE

1. General Governance System

I. The Board of Directors of the AIHL

At 31st December, 2019 the Board of Directors of the group holding company, AIHL, consisted of John Prosser, Derek Douglas, Christian Farrugia, James Dunbar-Cousin and David Hill. All of these individuals were also directors of the PCC. As the PCC is the main operational arm of the Group the references in this section to the 'Board' are largely to the Board of Directors of the PCC.

II. The Board of Directors of the PCC

The PCC is managed by an active Board of Directors. At 31st December 2019 the Board had five directors, three of whom were resident in Malta. The Board members were: John Prosser

(Ireland), Derek Douglas (UK), David Hill (UK), Christian Farrugia (Malta), James Dunbar Cousin (Malta), Anne Finn (Malta). The Board members collectively have qualifications and expertise in Accounting, Insurance Underwriting, Risk Management, Compliance, Investment and Banking and Law. The Board is responsible for the strategic management of the PCC. It oversees all PCC operations and appoints and supervises Managers and key function holders. All material decisions relating to or made by the cells are subject to the approval of the Board. The Board meets at least quarterly, but also more frequently should it be required. John Prosser and Derek Douglas retired from the Board respectively on 31st March 2020 and 1st April 2020.

III. Underwriting Committee

The Board is supported by an Underwriting Committee, which is a sub-committee of the Board. At 31st December 2019 the Underwriting Committee members were: John Prosser, David Hill, Derek Douglas and Anne Finn – ratify with board. The Underwriting Committee assists the Board in evaluating cell proposals and underwriting opportunities for the core. The Underwriting Committee also reviews material claims, both core and cellular, over and above the normal underwriting authorities granted to agencies. The Underwriting Committee meets on an ad hoc basis as required but its members are in regular communication with each other and with the Managers.

IV. Audit Committee

The PCC does not have a separate Audit Committee. The statutory obligations of an Audit Committee are retained directly by the Board of Directors.

V. Remuneration Committee

The PCC has no direct employees. Its directors are remunerated on a flat fee basis. The PCC therefore does not have a remuneration committee and its remuneration policy is very simple: to pay its directors and advisors a fair and adequate fee reflective of market rates, appropriate to the skills and functions being employed, for services rendered. Such fees are not directly tied to profit margins or financial performance of the Company.

VI. Management structure and key functions

The Board is also supported by:

- i. A professional management company, Artex Risk Solutions (Malta) Limited, which takes care of all day to day operational matters in Malta.
- ii. A Compliance Function and an appointed Compliance Officer to help ensure that the PCC is compliant with all its legal and regulatory obligations both in Malta and in the risk territories.
- iii. A Risk Management Function to measure, manage, monitor and control the risks the PCC is exposed to.
- iv. An Internal Audit Function. The Internal Auditor is completely independent of the day to day operations of the PCC.
- v. An Actuarial Function which is outsourced to Artex who provide the service through an outsourced qualified actuary.

VII. Cell Committees

Each cell within the PCC has a dedicated committee to manage the cell business and operations, to receive reports from service providers and to act in an advisory capacity to the Advent Board in relation to the cell's business. Each cell committee includes representatives of the cell shareholders, Advent Board and the Managers. The cell committees meet quarterly and report to the Advent Board.

VIII. Adequacy of the System of Governance

The Board is satisfied that the System of Governance is adequate and appropriate for the PCC taking into account the nature, scale and complexity of its business.

IX Fit and Proper Processes and Procedures

It is the PCC's policy to ensure that:

- i. All personnel involved with the PCC, including shareholders, directors and employees, are fit and proper persons within the regulatory definitions including being i) suitably qualified and experienced to carry out the functions delegated to them and ii) of good reputation and character
- ii. All actual or potential conflicts of interest that directors or function holders may have are identified, avoided or managed as necessary
- iii. Background checks are carried out on all individuals it employs or contracts with, to confirm their identity, background, qualifications, employment history, credit records, criminal record, including spent or lapsed offences, if any to ensure their 'fit' and 'proper' status.
- iv. All employments are subject to a trial period and all outsourcing and consultancy arrangements are cancellable.
- v. All Board members, qualifying shareholders, senior employees holding key functions such as compliance, internal audit, actuarial, financial, and underwriting are subject to the personal questionnaire process and approval by MFSA
- vi. The performance and integrity of individuals are monitored and evaluated on an ongoing basis through interaction and four eyes output review and assessment
- vii. Outsourced service providers nominate a person to be responsible for the function who is subject to the PCC's fit and proper assessments.

D. RISK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

As the PCC is the main operational arm of the Group the references in this section to the 'Board' are largely to the Board of Directors of the PCC.

1. Responsibility

The Board is responsible for setting the PCC's risk appetite and overall risk tolerance limits as well as approving and implementing the main risk management strategies and policies. To facilitate and support the achievement of the PCC's business strategy, the Board has put in place a Risk Management System of the strategies, processes and reporting procedures

necessary to identify, measure, monitor, manage and report, on a continuous basis the risks, at an individual cell and at an aggregated level, to which the PCC is or could be exposed, and their interdependencies.

2. Guiding policies

To guide the successful operation of the Risk Management System the Board has agreed the following risk policies which set out the minimum standards to be maintained by the PCC to manage its risks in a way that is consistent with its risk appetite and tolerances limits.

Underwriting and Reserving Risk Policy	Investment Risk Policy
Reinsurance and Financial Mitigation Risk Policy	Liquidity Risk Policy
Strategic and Reputation Risk Policy	Data Quality Policy
Operational Risk Management Policy	Outsourcing Policy
Conflicts of Interest Policy	Internal Control Policy
Fitness and Probity Policy	Internal Audit Policy
Policy on Appropriateness of Regulatory Information	ORSA Policy
Asset & Liability Management (ALM) Risk Policy	Capital Management Policy

3. Risk Management Strategy: Risk Register and Risk Matrix

Risk Register: A key element of the Board's risk management strategy is the Risk Register (one each for the core and each cell) through which the Board identifies and captures all material risks. Within the Risk Registers the PCC:

- Defines the risk categories which are relevant to the PCC /cell.
- Defines the methods to be used to measure the risk.
- Outlines the strategies in place for management, control and mitigation to limit its exposure to each material risk.

Risk Matrix: The PCC has set out its desired levels of risk appetite and risk tolerances for its key quantifiable risks. The over-arching risk appetites and tolerances are set out in the PCC's Risk Management System framework document. Individual specified risk appetite and tolerance metrics are set out in a Risk Appetite matrix, one each for the core and the individual cells.

4. Strategy for managing Insurance and Investment Risks

The PCC's strategy for managing Insurance and Investment risks is to:

- i. Select quality insurance risks with skilled underwriting assessment
- ii. Retain insurance risk within approved risk appetite and solvency requirements
- iii. Reinsure insurance risk above the selected net retention levels
- iv. Handle claims and reserving risk with suitable expertise and quality information
- v. Diversify investment risk through careful selection and ongoing review and management

- vi. Invest in instruments and deposits that offer it security, quality, liquidity, accessibility and profitability
- vii. Monitor changing environment and market conditions that affect risk

5. Strategy for managing other risks

The PCC's strategy for managing other business, operational, reputational, strategy and governance risks is to:

- i. Identify and analyse such risks through a multi-disciplinary risk assessment process
- ii. Accept certain risks within agreed risk tolerances and with appropriate solvency
- iii. Mitigate or avoid risks that do not fit within the PCC's business objectives by risk control and reduction techniques

6. Monitoring and reporting of risk positions

The PCC's strategy for monitoring and reporting of actual risk positions involves a number of functions and forms part of a number of processes. The Manager's ongoing responsibility includes reporting to the Board on: risks or risk events that the Board or the Manager has identified as potentially material; and on other specific areas of risk as they arise, both on its own initiative and at the request of the Board.

In addition:

- At least annually the Board reviews the PCC's risk tolerance matrix to ensure that all significant risks are adequately measured, monitored and controlled. The risk tolerance matrices of each individual cell are discussed at least annually by the cell committees; issues are reported upwards to the Board.
- ii. At least bi-annually the Board reviews the individual risk policies for appropriateness.
- iii. At least quarterly, reporting of cash, investments and liquidity positions, aged debtors' status, including those of the individual cells, takes place.
- iv. At least quarterly, reporting of premium and claim positions for the core and each individual cell, takes place
- v. At every quarterly Board meeting the PCC's Compliance Function reports on its monitoring of compliance with the compliance policy

7. Adequacy of Risk Management System

The PCC considers that its Risk Management System is adequate and appropriate to the nature scale and complexity of its business. However, the system itself is periodically reviewed, at least as part of the PCC's regular 'Own Risk and Solvency Assessment' and monitored on an ongoing basis for effectiveness and inclusiveness.

Risk management is discussed further under the RISK PROFILE section.

E. OWN RISK AND SOLVENCY ASSESSMENT

1. ORSA Objectives

The PCC produces its Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA) at least annually and more frequently should there be any material change to the Company's business or risk profile. The objective of the ORSA is to ensure an effective level of Enterprise Risk Management to enable the PCC to identify, assess, monitor, prioritise and report on the nature and scale of its material and relevant risks and to assess the adequacy of its risk management structures and current and prospective solvency positions under normal and severe stress scenarios, in the light of those risks. The information and knowledge thus obtained allows the PCC to understand and manage not only its current risks and solvency needs, but to consider the effects its strategic decisions will have on its future risk profile, regulatory capital requirements and overall solvency. The ORSA is therefore a tool to assist the PCC and cell owners in decision making and strategic analysis and in achieving their business objectives in a robust Enterprise Risk Management environment.

2. ORSA Principles

- i. The Board takes an active role in the ORSA, providing guidance on how it is to be carried out. The Board questions and challenges the assumptions, inputs and results to ensure the integrity of the ORSA, and that it fully understands the risks the PCC is exposed to, and how they translate into capital needs.
- ii. The ORSA is an entity wide process requiring input from all relevant personnel including cell owners, the PCC's actuarial, finance, risk management investment and Compliance Functions, and the local management team. Therefore, the ORSA is granular enough to ensure that the information communicated to the Board, and cells, is sufficiently detailed to be used for strategic decision-making, and to facilitate effective follow up action.
- iii. The Board relies on robust systems of governance and risk management to help it identify, manage and reduce risk and to provide it with the information it needs to properly assess its own solvency requirements. During the ORSA process the Board evaluates the strength and quality of these systems.

3. ORSA Frequency

The ORSA is carried out at least annually and more frequently should a significant change in the PCC's business strategy or profile, including the addition of a new Cell, require it.

4. ORSA Process

The PCC compares its base case financial projections (over a three-year period), and related SCR and MCR requirements vis-à-vis own funds, with various stressed scenarios. This allows it to assess its solvency sensitivity to unlikely but plausible events, and to make appropriate capital management plans. The stressed scenarios are derived from the underlying risk

review and assessment that also forms part of the ORSA process. The PCC maintains detailed records of the ORSA process including minutes of management and Board meetings, and related correspondence. The ORSA is independently reviewed by the Actuarial Function and is available to regulators and auditors.

F. INTERNAL CONTROL SYSTEM

As the PCC is the main operational arm of the Group this section focuses on its internal control system. However, the same management and control structures, where relevant, also apply to AIHL, the holding company.

1. Internal Control System Responsibility

The Board retains responsibility for ensuring that appropriate internal controls are in place, through professional managers and services providers, to protect the PCC. It also ensures that the Internal Audit, Compliance and Risk Management Functions have the resources, authority and freedom to carry out their responsibilities in an objective, impartial and independent manner, and have direct access to the Board as needed, without impediment by executive management. The Board supervises cell and operational management activities, including outsourced functions, through regular meetings and interaction between its executive directors and outsourced managers and service providers, and through the receipt of regular and exceptions reporting.

2. Local Managers

Local internal controls are effected through professional Insurance Managers, Artex, who operate under written contracts with the PCC core, individual cells and AHL. Artex are the initial recipients of the cells' and core business data, in the form of detailed monthly and quarterly reports from service providers. Artex scrutinise this underlying data for accuracy and completeness, and use it to produce reliable and timely financial and management information for Committee and Board reporting. As part of their day to day accounting and administrative support services Artex maintain checks and balances, methods and procedures to safeguard the PCC's and cells' assets and resources, to detect and deter errors, fraud, theft, and generally to ensure that the cells and the PCC core are operating in line with the PCC's policies and the cells' own business plans. Specific controls in place include preventive measures such as: four eyes review of transactions and reports, separation of duties between initiation and approval of, by at least 2 signatories, all bank tranactions and contracts; password controlled computer and office access; detective measures such as: monthly reconciliations of bank accounts, checking of bordereaux data against guidance manuals and claims against policy data, random checks on policy and claim files held by outsourcers, onsite reviews and internal audit; corrective measures such as: data backups, data validity tests, variance reports.

3. Cell Committees

At cell level the internal controls are overseen by the individual cell's dedicated Committee. The committee, operating under written Terms of Reference approved by the Board, ensures that adequate systems and controls are in place, both in Malta and in the risk territory, for the effective operation of the cell's business. Each committee has at least two Board representatives, one Manager representative, and two cell shareholder representatives. The Committee's supervision of the cell's systems and controls, both in Malta and in the business territory, is effected through receipt and scrutiny of detailed underwriting, claims, financial and investment reports, control of contracts, and the requirement for cell approval and signoff for insurance policies and claims over specified authority levels. The Board receives regular reports, at least quarterly, on the cells' activities and results.

4. Compliance Function

The PCC's Compliance Function, and the role of the Compliance Officer, is outsourced to Artex. The Board has designated a Director to oversee the Compliance Function on its behalf. The Compliance Function is recognised as a key part of the PCC's internal control system. It identifies, assesses, monitors and reports on the PCC's and cells' compliance risk and status to ensure adherence to their legal and regulatory obligations. It reports on these matters, and the effectiveness and adequacy of the Compliance Function, at least quarterly, or more frequently as needed, to the Board.

5. External oversight

External oversight of internal controls is provided by the Internal Audit Function, described below. The Actuarial Function, described below, provides external oversight of certain key aspects of the PCC's business, in particular its technical provision and solvency calculations.

6. Adequacy of Internal Control System

A clear division of duties exists between local directors, local operational staff, risk territory personnel, and the Compliance, External Audit and Actuarial Functions. The effective functioning of the overall system is facilitated by regular structured meetings, and information flows and reporting, between the different divisions, all of which are ultimately answerable to the Board. Given the nature, scale and complexity of the PCC's business the Board considers this internal control framework to be adequate and suitable for purpose.

G. INTERNAL AUDIT FUNCTION

1. Independence of Internal Audit Function

The appointed Internal Auditor for the PCC has been a senior executive of the PCC's parent group, the Abbey Group, who is operationally independent of the PCC, having never worked directly for the PCC, and not having any current day to day involvement in its operations.

However, during 2020 the PCC will appoint an external firm to provide internal audit services, due to corporate changes within the Abbey Group.

2. Reporting to the Board

Further, the Board has designated a Director to oversee the PCC's Audit Function, including internal audit. The designated Director liaises with, and maintains free and open communication with, the Internal Auditor. However, the Internal Auditor reports directly to the Board on the outcome of the audits, their findings and recommendations.

3. Internal Audit responsibilities and scope

It is the responsibility of the Internal Audit Function to:

- i. establish, implement and maintain an audit plan over at least a three year planning period, taking into account all activities and the complete system of governance of the PCC, using a risk-based approach to decide audit priorities
- ii. issue an internal audit report to the Board, at least annually, on findings and recommendations including the period of time to remedy shortcomings, the persons responsible for doing so, and status of audit recommendations
- iii. verify compliance with Board decisions taken based on audit recommendations.

Every activity and unit of the PCC, including cells, falls within the scope of the Internal Audit Function. Responsibility for following up Internal Audit recommendations rests with the Board with delegation to local executive directors and local management as appropriate.

H. ACTUARIAL FUNCTION

1. Implementation and Objectivity

The PCC has outsourced the Actuarial Function to the local Managers who perform the underlying tasks and calculations. The overview aspects of the Actuarial Function have been outsourced by the Managers to a qualified actuary. The qualified actuary is independent of both the PCC and the Managers, and is not involved with the PCC's day to day operations, thus ensuring the function is objective and free from the influence of other functions or from the PCC's Board and management.

2. Responsibilities

The Actuarial Function is responsible for:

 Co-ordinating the calculation of technical provisions in order to: a) explain any material effect of changes in data, methodologies or assumptions between valuation dates; b) report on the consistency of the technical provisions with the requirements set out in the Solvency II EU directive, and to propose any corrections needed;

- ii. Ensuring the appropriateness of methodologies and internal models used, and assumptions made, in the technical provision calculations;
- iii. Assessing the sufficiency and quality of the data used the technical provision calculations;
- iv. Comparing best estimates against experience;
- v. Informing the Board of the reliability and adequacy of the technical provision calculations;
- vi. Overseeing the calculation of technical provisions as set out in Article 82 of Solvency II directive;
- vii. Expressing an opinion on the overall underwriting policy;
- viii. Expressing an opinion on the adequacy of reinsurance arrangements (if any); and
- ix. Contributing to the effective implementation of the risk-management system referred to in Article 44 of Solvency II directive, particularly with respect to the risk modelling underlying the calculation of the capital requirements.
- x. Reporting to the Board at least annually documenting all material tasks undertaken and their results, clearly identifying deficiencies, and giving recommendations on how to remedy such deficiencies.

I. OUTSOURCING

The business strategy of the PCC is to insure portfolios of risks on a Freedom of Services basis within the EU. This strategy results in a need for localised market access, technical, legal and linguistic expertise, and cultural knowledge, in the risk territories, to facilitate the management of it business. To this end the PCC outsources underwriting, distribution and claims handling services in Belgium, France, Portugal, Germany and the UK, as set out in the following table, which states the position at 31st December 2019. The Board oversees oursourced services through its executive directors.

Advent Insurance PCC Limited Third Party Service Providers under contract at 31 st December 2019					
Outsourced Provider	AIHL/Core/ Cells	Service Outsourced	Internal/ External	Jurisdiction	Outsourcing Oversight
Artex Risk Solutions (Malta) Ltd	AIHL/Core/All Cells	Management & Administration services including Accounting, MLRO, Admin and Co Sec. Compliance Actuarial	External	EU: Malta	Derek Douglas
Abbey International Finance Ltd	AIHL/Core/All Cells	Internal Audit	Internal (to Core)	EU: Ireland	Derek Douglas
Vantage Insurance Services Ltd	AIF	Distribution, Underwriting services and claims handling	External	EU: UK	Derek Douglas
TUI Travel Belgium	Absolut	Sales and Distribution	Internal (to Cell)	EU: Belgium	Anne Finn
PATS nv	Absolut	Claims Handling	Internal	EU: Belgium	Anne Finn
UIB Holdings UK Ltd	UIB	Distribution, Underwriting Services.	Internal	EU:UK	Derek Douglas
Compass Underwriting Ltd	UIB	Underwriting assistance and claims handling	External	EU:UK	Derek Douglas
Freedom Healthnet Ltd	Freedom Health	Distribution, Underwriting services and claims handling	Internal	EU:UK/ Germany	Derek Douglas
APS Prevoyance t/a Assurances	Core	Distribution, Underwriting services and claims handling	External (Core)	EU: France	Anne Finn
Unlimitedcare- Servicos de Saude e Assistencia, S.A.	Unlimitecare	Distribution and Underwriting services	Internal	EU: Portugal	Anne Finn
Unlimitedcare- Servicos de Saude e Assistencia, S.A	Unlimitedcare	Claims handling services	Internal	EU: Portugal	Anne Finn
Premia Solutions Limited	Autorama	Claims handling services & premium billing and collection services	External	EU: UK	Anne Finn
Autorama UK Ltd) t/as Vanarama Insurance Services	Autorama	Marketing, Underwriting and Distribution services	Internal	EU: UK	Anne Finn

Note: Internal may indicate common ownership with the Cell shareholder or with the core

1. Outsourcing in Malta

Due to its relatively small size the PCC, its group holding company AIHL, and all of the PCC's cells, have determined that outsourcing of their day to day operations in Malta, including accounting, compliance handling, company secretarial, anti-money laundering and actuarial handling, provides the best solution for their effective and efficient management. These functions are outsourced to the Malta operations of Artex, a global professional insurance management company.

The Internal Audit Function is outsourced to Abbey International Finance Limited, the parent company of AIHL. This company is based in Ireland.

Outsourcing risk, and its management, is discussed below under the Risk Profile section.

J. RISK PROFILE

1. Underwriting Risk

I. PCC Solo Underwriting Risk Profile

Underwriting risk at 31st December 2019 comprised 39.7% of the consolidated undiversified Base solvency capital requirement. Of this 11.4% was for health underwriting risk and 28.3% for non-life underwriting risk.

The PCC in its constituent parts is exposed to underwriting risk through various general insurance products including travel cancellation insurance, personal accident & income protection insurance, private medical insurance, miscellaneous financial loss insurance in the form of loss of rent covers, GAP return to invoice covers, and a small amount of property cover related to the miscellaneous financial loss insurances. Taken as a whole the PCC is considered to have low underwriting concentration risk, as its underwriting exposures are diversified across unconnected portfolios and cells and over a wide range of classes, products, risks and territories. Further, the cells are legally and contractually protected from the losses of other cells and from the core.

A key control of PCC's over its underwriting risk is a thorough pre-acceptance assessment of cell & core portfolio proposals, and ongoing monitoring of the results and outcomes of these portfolios, once accepted. These assessments ensure the portfolios meet the PCC's acceptance criteria, the optimum profile being sustainable products that are: short tail, with low individual values, in portfolios that have good risk spread, medium to high premium volume, and consistently profitable history. The portfolios must be introduced and managed by experienced professionals with proven track records and high regulatory and market standing.

Each cell, and core portfolio, has its own separate and distinct underwriting profile and operations, with its own mechanisms for assessing, monitoring and controlling its individual underwriting risks. However, all cells share a common process centred around individual risk registers, which are qualitative analyses of various risk categories, and individual risk matrices which contain quantitative parameters of risk appetites and tolerances against which risk events are monitored. As underwriting is considered the main risk exposure, scrutiny and analysis of regular detailed premium and claims reported results, vis-à-vis the stated risk tolerances and appetites, are the main methodologies for monitoring and controlling this risk. Results are reviewed at regular cell committee meetings and at quarterly Board meetings.

The nature of the exposures, the concentrations risk within portfolios, the mechanisms for risk assessment and control, including risk mitigations, are discussed in the next section for

each cell, and for the core. The cell / core risk exposure profile is discussed in terms of volatility whereby portfolios are considered non-volatile if they have a medium to high volume of low value exposures where a single loss would have a negligible impact on underwriting results or SCR. Portfolios with larger single loss limits are considered more volatile. Concentration and catastrophe risk is measured by the potential for two or more claims to emerge from the same event. Concentration and volatility levels are key criteria for determining the need, or not, for reinsurance protection.

The risks considered on a cell by cell basis are: *underwriting pricing risk*, the risk that premiums charged will be insufficient to cover losses: *underwriting reserving risk*, the risk that reserves held will be insufficient to pay for ultimate claims, *underwriting concentration and catastrophe risk*, the exposure of multiple insureds to the same event, and *reinsurance strategy and concentration risk*.

II. PCC Group Underwriting Risk Profile

At 31st December 2019 non-life underwriting risk comprised 22% of the group's undiversified base solvency capital requirement. The group underwriting risk profile comprises the underwriting risks of the core only as no residual underwriting risk remained in the group cells, Finance One cell and AIF Cell, at the year end.

III. Core Underwriting Risk Profile

Exposure: The PCC core sells rent default insurance to landlords of mostly residential property in France. The portfolio consists of a medium volume of relatively low value risk exposures. The maximum contract limit is moderate but with a very low probability of being met in full, based on experience; a typical large claim within the normal range is materially lower. The portfolio risk profile is assessed as non-volatile, because individual losses would not have the capacity to materially affect the underwriting result, or the Core's solvency status. The risk exposure is mitigated by robust due diligence procedures on tenants, including credit checks, and a very active approach to loss recovery from defaulting tenants.

Concentration and catastrophe risk: The risk is diversified across a wide range of properties and tenants with a reasonable geographic spread across several areas of France, resulting in low concentration risk. However, the portfolio has an exposure to economic downturns, albeit mitigated by governmental social security support.

Pricing risk: The underwriting pricing risk is assessed and managed by reference to its historic loss experience, and the experience of similar portfolios in France, and ongoing analysis of actual premium and claim data. As the policies are annual contracts, rating can be adjusted relatively quickly should loss experience deteriorate.

Reserving risk: the occurrence of a loss leading to a claim is known very quickly, which aids reasonably accurate estimation of the technical provisions needed for claims, thus reducing reserving risk.

Mitigation: The predictability of the portfolio's underwriting result is increased by the availability of detailed historic data and its low volatility. For this reason reinsurance cover has not been considered necessary.

IV. Absolut Cell underwriting risk profile

Exposure: Absolut Cell provides holiday cancellation insurance to customers primarily based in Belgium. Its underwriting exposure consists of short tail, high volume, very low single value covers. The portfolio is considered non-volatile, as individual losses do not have the capacity to materially affect the cell's' underwriting result or solvency position.

Concentration & catastrophe: The cell's concentration risk is also low because the insurances are spread over a wide range of unconnected customers, in respect of unconnected events. Its exposure to catastrophe risk, the risk of multiple claims arising from one event, is mitigated by explicit terrorism and war exclusions, and is considered low. The cell has some exposure to pandemics such as Covid-19, although policy restrictions and exclusions mitigate this exposure.

Pricing risk: The cell's underwriting pricing risk is assessed and managed by reference to the portfolio's historic loss experience and the experience of similar portfolios in Europe, ongoing analysis of actual premium and claim data, and by pre-defining underwriting acceptance criteria. The short-term, short-tail nature of the risks enables pricing adjustments as necessary, to mitigate this risk.

Reserving risk: Assessment and control of reserving risk is also facilitated by the short tail nature of the cell's risks. Claims are reported and settled very quickly, allowing reasonably accurate projection of ultimate losses.

Mitigation: Because result predictability is enhanced by the availability of detailed historical statistics, low volatility, and the short tail and short-term nature of the covers, the Absolut Cell has not considered it necessary to purchase reinsurance protection to mitigate underwriting risk.

V. AIF Cell underwriting risk profile

AIF Cell ceased writing business on 30th September 2017 and has no residual underwriting risk.

VI. UIB Cell underwriting risk profile

Exposure: This cell provides income protection and personal accident insurance to UK based customers. Single maximum contractual limits are relatively high. However, the probability of a maximum claim occurring is considered low, based on 18 years' claim data; a typical large claim within the normal range is materially lower. The risk profile is one of

moderately low volatility, as even rare maximum limit losses would be very comfortably withstood by the cell and would not materially affect its solvency status.

Concentration and catastrophe risk: UIB Cell has a low to moderate concentration risk because an event accidentally injuring several connected individuals or family members is possible. However, the concentration risk is mitigated by policy inner limits, deferred cover periods, and terrorism exclusions. Catastrophe risk, the risk of several claims from unrelated individuals arising from a single large event, is also considered low because of i) good geographic risk spread across the UK; ii) Terrorism exclusions; iii) Pandemic exclusions in most parts of the portfolio.

Pricing Risk: The cell's underwriting pricing risk is assessed and managed by reference to its 18 years of historic loss experience and ongoing analysis of actual premium and claim data. The personal accident contracts are monthly, and the income protection policies are annual. Therefore, rating and underwriting criteria can be adjusted quickly should loss experience deteriorate.

Reserving Risk: Short-tail claim reporting and payment horizons help in assessing and controlling reserving risk.

Mitigation: To further mitigate against a major event involving large numbers of people in a public place, excess of loss reinsurance has been purchased to limit the cell's retained exposure.

VII. Freedom Health Cell Underwriting risk profile

Exposure: Freedom Health Cell's underwriting exposure is derived from the provision of private medical insurance to customers based in the UK and Germany. The cell ceased underwriting in February 2019 and is in run-off. The cell's contractual annual limit for one claim was moderately high. However, only a small number of claims a year reached this level and the average claim value was materially lower. In addition to annual limits, single claims were controlled by: sub-limits on various procedures; in-patient time limits for certain conditions and treatments; explicit exclusion of pandemic events and negligible accident and emergency risk.

Concentration and catastrophe risk: Freedom Health Cell had a low concentration risk because its market had a wide geographic spread across UK and Germany.;

Mitigation: The cell purchased 50% quota share reinsurance, to mitigate underwriting risk and to increase result predictability.

Pricing risk: The cell's underwriting pricing risk was assessed and managed by reference to several years historic loss experience and ongoing analysis of actual premium and claims data

Reserving risk: Loss reserving, and the reduction of reserving risk, are facilitated by the relatively short tail nature of the cover, including treatment time limits. This is further aided by the use of guide prices for surgical and non-surgical treatments.

VIII. Finance One Cell Underwriting risk profile

Finance One cell provided various types of bonds for customers based primarily in Ireland. The cell effected a loss portfolio transfer on 31st December 2019 and has not residual underwriting risk.

IX. Underwriting Risk Sensitivities for Cells and Core

The PCC tested the sensitivity of the cells' and the core's pricing, reserving, concentration and reinsurance strategy risks to stressed events in its recent ORSA. Underwriting stress tests included general loss ratio increases and a Covid-19 specifc scenario individualised for the core and each cell. The results indicated that the core, currently operational individual cells, and the consolidated PCC, have or will have sufficient capital to meet their Solvency II requirements under base business projections over the next three years. Stress test results were similarly positive, indicating that in all scenarios the SCR cover of the core, operational cells, and consolidated PCC would remain comfortable.

2. Market risks

I. PCC Solo Market Risks

Market Risks comprised 52% of the PCC's Solo consolidated Base SCR at 31st December 2019, of which 79% is market concentration risk, 5% is spread risk, 1% is interest rate risk and 16% is currency risk. The PCC's assets at 31st December 2019 were a mixture of bank and money market deposits, investment grade bonds, equities (less than 0.20% of the whole), and group loans.

II. PCC Group Market Risks

Market risks comprised 64% of the PCC Group's consolidated Base SCR at 31st December 2019. The PCC Group's investment assets at 31st December 2019 were a mixture of bank and money market deposits, and parental loans.

Both Group and Solo Market Risks are discussed below:

III. Market Concentration Risk

The PCC Solo is exposed, in one cell, to market concentration risk, through a loan to the cell's parent group. However, this loan was repaid in quarter one 2020.

For the PCC Group the market concentration risk exists in the exposure by one cell to a group loan.

IV. Interest rate risk

The PCC Solo has negligible exposure to interest rate risk.

The Group has negligible exposure to interest rate risk.

V. Currency risk

At the end of 2019 both the PCC Solo and Group carried currency risk on the US\$ financial instruments in the investment portfolio of the PCC core. The PCC and Group manage currency risk by regular monitoring of the relevant exchange rates, by matching the currency of assets to the denomination of liabilities and by reacting to material movements thereto.

VI. Price risk

The PCC solo is exposed to limited price risk in respect of its investment portfolio, classified as at fair value through profit or loss. The fair value items are corporate bonds and equities, together amounting to less than 1% of the PCC's total assets.

3. Credit (Counter-party Default) Risk

I. Exposure by Holding Company, Cells and Core

At 31st December 2019 credit risk in the form of counterparty default risk represented 8% of the PCC's undiversified Solo Basic SCR, and 14% of the PCC Group's Basic SCR.

The PCC has multiple counterparties which avoids credit concentration risk on a consolidated basis; however a degree of concentration risk exists within cells. 86% of the Solo credit default SCR was in respect of type 1 exposures: banks, reinsurers or money market instruments. 14% was in respect of type 2 exposures: insurance receivables from intermediaries. 89% of the Group's credit default SCR was in respect of type 1 exposures: banks or money market instruments, and 11% was in respect of type 2 exposures: insurance receivables from receivables from intermediaries.

II. Management of Credit Risk

The Boards apply the *Prudent Person principle* to credit risks. The Investment Function manages credit risk by selecting counterparties based on their financial strength and ensuring reasonable risk spread. Investment portfolio performance is regularly monitored, and discussed at every committee and Board meeting.

- III. Assessment and risk mitigation used for credit risks
- i. For investment transactions, only counterparties with a high enough credit rating are used, except in some limited cases where counterparties are, for example, group companies.
- Credit rating is a main criterion in the selection of reinsurance counterparties, such that only counterparties who are well established multinational reinsurers with at least Standard and Poor's single A (or equivalent), are selected. The range of contracted reinsurance counterparties mitigates the default risk.
- iii. For direct insurance transactions the business is unavoidably placed with the PCC through unrated intermediaries, which is recognised as a material credit risk and is mitigated by ensuring properly structured and controlled bank accounts held in trust.

Assessment and risk mitigation techniques embrace the following methods:

- i. Robust contract terms and conditions with all counterparties
- ii. Monitoring the credit ratings and status of counterparties;
- iii. At least quarterly reporting of cash, investment and liquidity positions;
- iv. At least quarterly reporting of intermediary balances.
 - IV. Risk sensitivity testing for credit risks

In its recent ORSA the PCC assessed the sensitivity of the PCC and individual cells to credit risk, assuming scenarios of: i) one credit downgrade across the three years, for each counterparty; ii) intermediary failure to pay a portion of debt due, in year 3.

In all but one case the core and operational cells would continue to comfortably meet their solvency capital requirements. For one cell, the scenarios could cause shortfalls at some stages. However, the cell's highest potential shortfall represents .63% of total capital and 2.74% of core capital; further the probability of the scenario is considered low for the cell. In any event the PCC's consolidated solvency cover remains comfortable across the planning period in all stressed scenarios.

AHL's credit risk was not specifically stress tested.

4. Liquidity Risk

The PCC's Solo and Group exposure to liquidity risk arises from the possibility that sufficient cash may not be readily available to pay obligations when due, at a reasonable cost. This risk is not significant for either Group or Solo, given the liquidity of their financial assets and the short-term nature of liabilities.

5. Operational Risk

I. Assessment of exposures

Outsourcing is considered the main risk within the systems and operational category because both the core and individual cells rely on it heavily.

Outsourcing to Agents outside Malta: Key functions such as underwriting, distribution and claim handling services are extensively outsourced to various third parties in France, Belgium, UK and Portugal. Material outsourcing risks include execution, delivery and processs management, in particular: poor controls around underwriting and claim handling processes, poor service standards, deliberate mis-selling, acting outside authority levels. Outsourcing risk also includes: credit risk (for premium balances), reputation and fraud risk, cyber/data security risk, IT network resilience risk, business continuity planning risk, data storage risk, compliance risk, money laundering risk, data protection risk.

Outsourcing of Malta operations. Both the PCC and AIHL outsource their day to day management in Malta to Artex Risk Solutions Limited, part of the A J Gallagher group. Risks include: inadequate internal controls and accounting systems leading to greater fraud and money laundering risk; operatonal risks leading to failure to provide timely and accurate financial and other reports to management, or to submit timely and accurate regulatory returns; cyber risk; the risk of inadequate staff and resources.

Key personnel risk: This is centred largely around outsourced operations, reflected in poor recruitment practices, failure to develop, train, manage and retain personnel leading to operational and service problems. However, it also exists at the PCC's Board and executive level.

II. Risk mitigation techniques used for operational and outsourcing risks

The PCC and AIHL have a relatively high tolerance level for outsourcing risk, because it would not be possible to operate without it due to the need for local (risk territory) access mechanisms, local cultural, legal and technical knowledge, language skills, and market connections. However, the Board remains conscious at all times of their own duty to manage and control outsourcing risk and to ultimately retain full responsibility for the outsourced functions.

Key controls are: stringent pre-engagement checks on individuals and operations, written contract terms and detailed service level agreements, regular interactions with Third Party Service Providers (TPSPs) via committee and operational meetings, and other communications including regular detailed reports.

Regular onsite visits to key outsourced function holders is also a key risk control. It is aimed to carry out on-site audits of every key service provider at least biennially by either PCC directors, managers, Internal Auditors, or other representatives. Credit risk controls include access to agents' premium accounts, regular reconciliations of banking transactions with bordereaux, and the maintenance of premium accounts under trust conditions.

Key controls of the systems and operational risks related to Maltese based operations, include: employment of professional management company, regular interaction between Managers and local executive directors, regular onsite visits and meetings, and internal audits.

The PCC is also conscious of its **Key Personnel risk** but this is mitigated by the engagement of experienced professional non-executive and executive directors, and the support of the Advent Group's international network.

III. Risk sensitivity for operational risks

The main financial impact to the PCC and cells, from outsourcing risk, is aligned with underwriting and claim results which would be impacted by poor product design, inadequate

underwriting criteria and pricing, and inappropriate reserving practices. It is therefore considered to be captured within the underwriting risk sensitivity tests.

Future credit risk related to insurance intermediaries has been specifically tested and has not raised any significant cause for concern.

Outsourcing risk as it relates to the Group is considered insignificant and has not been specifically stress tested.

6. Compliance and Regulatory Risk

This is defined as the failure to comply with regulatory laws and rules applicable to insurance companies and PCCs in Malta and at EU level, particularly relating to solvency requirements and technical provision calculations. This risk is considered material for both core and cells because of the reputational impact of regulatory sanction on the business.

The risk is managed and mitigated by: stringent focus on and commitment to regulatory solvency and other compliance, the employment of professional managers and local executive directors, Compliance Function, Internal Audit Function, Actuarial Function supported by qualified actuary.

The compliance risk has not been specifically stress tested. However, all stress tests contemplate a key risk of failing to meet solvency capital requirements in normal and stressed conditions. Otherwise the risk is considered covered within the base SCR.

Compliance and regulatory risk is not considered material for AIHL as it does not engage in regulated activities.

7. PCC Risk

I. PCC Strategy Risk

This is defined as inadequate, inappropriate or unrealistic strategic objectives for the PCC as a whole, leading to i) poor core portfolio selection, poor performance and loss of capital, and ii) poor cell acceptance criteria leading to risk of exposure to financial loss/capital shortfall within cells.

These risks are considered material for the core because the PCC could suffer critical financial, regulatory and reputational consequences should either of these scenarios materialise.

However, the probability is considered low in both cases. The risk is managed by having a well-defined risk acceptance policy for both core and cells; by carrying out stringent due diligence on cell & core portfolio proposers to ensure that they demonstrate good track records and performance history, and meet high standards of professional integrity, ability, industry reputation, product knowledge and compliance.

The risk is further mitigated by the requirement for each cell to meet and exceed its own solvency requirement in normal circumstances, with capital plans in place to respond to stressed circumstances. Risk sensitivities are tested by individual stress tests as discussed elsewhere in this report. The core's sensitivity to cell performance is also tested by the cell stress tests and by the tests carried out for the core's own risk profile.

II. PCC Structural Risk

The PCC structural risk is relevant to each cell and the core. It is defined as the impact of problems in or failure by the core caused by core underwriting, or by failure of another cell. This risk is considered of low probability, mitigated by the risk management and controls discussed elsewhere in this report, and the surplus carried by the core. Cells are legally protected from the liabilities of other cells and from the core. Therefore, the most serious financial impact for a cell is considered to be the financial cost associated with moving the cell to another PCC structure. This cost is assessed as similar to the cost of initial cell establishment. It was concluded that the existing capital buffer currently carried by each cell would be sufficient to meet this cost.

III. PCC Reputational Risk

The PCC reputation risk is relevant to each cell and the core. This is defined as the impact on a cell, or the core, of reputational damage caused by association with other cells or the core. This risk is considered relatively low in probability and impact, due to the commoditised nature of the PCC's insurance products, and the risk mitigations discussed elsewhere within this report. It was concluded that the existing capital buffers carried by each cell, and the core, were sufficient to cater for this risk.

8. External Risk Factors

I. Economic Deterioration

This includes the impact of global pandemics such as Covid-19. The risk arising from economic deterioration is considered under three outcome scenarios:

- a reduction in cell facility take-up or take up of cell or core insurance products: This considers scenarios which, alone, would not lead to a deterioration of solvency vis-àvis risk.
- b) poorer underwriting results: This envisages scenarios where higher claim levels arise from economic deterioration including moral hazard risk, or where direct claims arise out of extraordinary events such as Covid-19.
- c) a combination of a) and b)

Risk sensitivity:

The risk of higher claims than expected is one of the standard stress tests carried out for the core and all cells. Covid-19 specific stress tests have also been carried out for the core and each active cell involving a mixture of increased claims and premium volume downturn,

depending on the portfolio profile. In each case the core and each cell, and the consolidated PCC, would continue to comfortably meet solvency requirements.

II. Brexit

Events such as Brexit, leading to a reduction in Cell take-up or purchase of Cell insurance products, are a risk for the PCC. Brexit is considered a risk relating to the modus operandi of UK focused cells, and their ability to continue to write UK business in the longer run, but not in terms of underlying capital strength and solvency.

For existing UK focused cells, the options for continuing to access UK markets are being developed. For the time being the PCC has mitigated the Brexit risk by applying for the UK's Temporary Permissions Regime, which allows it to continue to write UK business on a Freedom of Service basis, for up to three years from the Brexit date.

K. REGULATORY BALANCE SHEET

1. Assets - PCC Solo & Group

The first table below is a summary of the assets of the core and cells combined as at 31 December 2019. The second table is a summary of the assets of the Group combined as at 31st December 2019.

	Solvency II Value	Statutory Value	Commentary
	€	€	
Financial assets	2,193,508	2,188,158	See I below
Deferred tax asset	392,808	152,213	See II below
Reinsurers' share of technical provisions	655,537	654,156	See III below
Loans & Mortgages	15,289,648	16,556,439	See IV below
Other Receivables	224,002	499,005	See V below
Direct insurance operations receivables	1,439,973	2,901,901	See VI below
Deferred acquisition costs		1,132,628	See VII below
Other Assets not otherwise shown		22,803	See VIII below
Cash at bank	19,515,537	19,537,721	See IX below
Total Balance Sheet Assets	39,711,013	43,645,023	
	Solvency II Value	Statutory Value	Commentary
---	----------------------	-----------------	----------------
	E	£	See Hanna
Financial assets			See I below
Deferred tax asset		21,386	See II below
Reinsurers' share of technical provisions		×.	See III below
Loans & Mortgages	2,781,439	2,781,439	See IV below
Other Receivables	1,336,176	1,336,176	See V below
Direct insurance operations receivables	42,447	164,783	See VI below
Deferred acquisition costs			See VII below
Other Assets not otherwise shown			See VIII below
Cash at bank	6,229,480	6,229,480	See IX below
Total Balance Sheet Assets	10,389,542	10,533,264	

The valuation principles applied to these assets are consistent with those used in the Statutory accounts (IFRS), with any differences in valuation set out below:

- I. Financial assets are recognised on the trade date, which is the date that the PCC commits to purchase or sell the assets. Financial Assets comprise investments in Corporate Bonds and term deposits. All financial assets are initially recognised at fair value plus transaction costs that are directly attributable to their acquisition. Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss are subsequently re-measured at fair value.
- II. Deferred tax is recognised, using the liability method, on temporary differences arising between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts in the financial statements. Deferred tax is determined using tax rates (and laws) that have been enacted or substantially enacted by the end of the reporting year and are expected to apply when the related deferred tax asset is realised or the deferred tax liability is settled. Deferred tax assets are recognised only to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profit will be available against which the temporary differences can be utilised. The difference between the Deferred Tax Asset in the statutory accounts and that in the SII balance sheet is generated by the impact on reconciliation reserve of the SII adjustments.
- III. **Reinsurance technical provisions** are considered to be current in nature. The statutory reinsurance technical provisions are based on reinsurers' share of

outstanding loss reserves and incurred but not reported reserves, plus reinsurers' share of unearned premium reserves. The Solvency II technical provisions for claims include loadings for binary events or events not in data (ENIDS). Premium technical provisions are based on an estimate of the ratio of expected losses against unearned premium plus loadings for binary events. Valuation differences between reinsurer's share of TP under SII and IFRS are derived from the adjustments to the Gross TPs from IFRS to SII. Gross TPs are further adjusted for own costs and risk margin retained net.

- IV. Loans and mortgages are carried at amortised cost using the effective interest method, less any provision for impairment. The fair value of loans and receivables are deemed to be a Level 2 measurement. Loans are group loans to cell or core shareholders or other companies of the core or cell's own group. There were no material differences in valuation between IFRS and SII bases, as their fair value approximates amortised cost due to their short term nature.
- V. Other receivables represent other receivables from related parties.
- VI. Receivables arising out of direct insurance operations represent amounts due from policyholders and agents. These amounts are collected and remitted to the cells by related entities on a monthly basis. On an IFRS basis all premium which have been written but not yet paid at the valuation date (31/12/2019) are treated as receivables in the IFRS balance sheet. On a Solvency II basis only unpaid premiums which were technically due for payment at the valuation date are treated as premiums receivable on the Solvency II balance sheet. Any unpaid premiums which are not yet technically due are not treated as premiums receivable but are recognised within the Solvency II premium best estimate calculation as a reduction of amounts due to policyholders. These different treatments account for the material difference between direct insurance receivables on IFRS and Solvency II bases.
- VII. Deferred acquisition costs (DAC) represent the unearned portion of direct commissions incurred in securing new contracts and renewing existing contracts. They are deferred and amortised over the period in which the related premiums are earned, which is typically a period of between one to three years. DAC are capitalised and shown in the financial (statutory) balance sheet. DAC are not recognised for Solvency II valuation of assets.
- VIII. Other Assets not otherwise shown represent other sundry debtors, prepayments and accrued income.
- IX. **Cash at bank** comprise call deposits with maturities of three months or less and are carried at face value.

2. Technical Provisions

The Solvency II technical provisions comprise the best estimate of liabilities and risk margin according to articles 75 to 86 of the Solvency II Directive. The best estimate liability reflects a realistic estimate of future claims attributable to bound insurance contracts and contracts close to being bound, regardless of whether the contracts cover expired or unexpired periods. The estimates are based on past experience with adjustments for expected deviations in the future.

The PCC's statutory accounts include provisions for all unpaid claims notified by Insureds (claims outstanding), except for medical insurance where provision is made for all claims where treatment has already occurred. Provision is also made for claims incurred but not reported (IBNR) based on previous claims experience. Unearned premium reserves provided for in the statutory accounts reflect the unexpired portion of written premium.

The technical provisions for the year ended 31 December 2019 are set out in the following tables, on both Solvency II and Statutory bases, for PCC Solo and Group:

	PCC Solo Technical Provi	l Provisions at 31 st December 2019 (P17.01.02)	ecember 2019	(P17.01.02)			
			STATUTORY			SOLVENCY II	
		GROSS	Recoverable from Doincurors	NET	GROSS	Recoverable from Reinsurers	NET
		3	£	Ð	Ψ	Ψ	Ű
	Total Best Estimate	5,117,155		5,117,155	1,903,320		1,903,320
	Fire & Other Damage to Property	80,096	,	80,096	49,431	ĸ	49,431
	Credit & Suretyship	ì	1	a	а	ł	ī
TECHNICAL PROVISIONS - NON- LIFE (EXCLUDING HEALTH)	Miscellaneous Financial Loss	5,037,059		5,037,059	1,853,889	4	1,853,889
	Risk Margin	î.	E.		242,334	I.	242,334
	Total Best Estimate	3,283,066	654,156	2,628,910	3,503,462	655,537	2,847,925
	Medical Expense	1,400,750	654,156	746,594	1,377,345	655,537	721,808
TECHNICAL PROVISIONS (HEALTH - SIMILAR TO NON-LIFE)	Income Protection	1,882,316	э	1,882,316	2,126,117	ı	2,126,117
	Risk Margin	Ĩ	×.		210,948	r	210,948
TOTAL TECHNICAL PROVISIONS		8,400,221	654,156	7,746,065	5,860,064	655,537	5,204,527
IOIAL IEUINICAL FILOVICIUS						CONFERENCE (11) SETS	



40

	PCC GROUP Technical Provisions at 31 st December 2019 (P17.01.02)	visions at 31 st	December 201	19 (P17.01.02			
			STATUTORY			SOLVENCY II	
			Recoverable			Recoverable	
		GROSS	from Reinsurers	NET	GROSS	from Reinsurers	NET
		£	£	ŧ	9	£	£
	Total Best Éstimate	695,964	ł	695,964	350,445	0	350,445
	Fire & Other Damage to Property	34,798	4	34,798	17,522	0	17,522
TECHNICAL BROVISIONS - NON-	Credit & Suretyship					0	ĩ
LIFE (EXCLUDING HEALTH)	Miscellaneous Financial Loss	661,166	3	661,166	332,923	0	332,923
		Ķ.	г	2 .1 .e			1
	Risk Margin				63,069	0	63,069
	Total Best Estimate	ï	ŀ				
	Medical Expense	ĩ	ŀ.	Е			
TECHNICAL PROVISIONS (HEALTH -	Income Protection	i.	E				
SIMILAR TO NON-LIFE)				Ŀ			
	Risk Margin		ı	ı			
TOTAL TECHNICAL BROWISIONS		695,964		695,964	413,514	ŕ	413,514
IUIAL IEUNIUAL FROVISIONS							

41

The main difference between the best estimates in the Solvency II balance sheet and the IFRS technical provisions arise from the treatment of premium reserves held for unexpired periods. Under IFRS these are calculated on a timing basis whereby the full unexpired (unearned) portion of written premium is held in reserve as a separate balance sheet liability. However, under Solvency II, these unexpired/unearned premium reserves are replaced by by best estimate premium provisions. These are reserves for claims in future periods and are calculated by applying expected loss ratios to the unexpired/unearned premium. Further, unpaid premium which is not yet due for payment at the valuation date, is now recognised within the Solvency II best estimate premium calculation, as a deduction of amounts due to policyholders, whereas under IFRS all such premium is treated as a receivable on the asset side of the balance sheet.

In addition, under Solvency II, various loadings are added such as management expenses, binary events, events not in data, and a risk margin. These items are discussed in the next section. This difference in valuaton applies across all lines of business. Reinsurance recoverables shown are amounts due under various reinsurance arrangements.

Assumptions and methods

The main assumptions in the reserving exercise relate to the evaluation of the underlying insured risks and events by, with reference to historic data where available, the estimation of expected average cost per claim, the likely future number of claims, and any inflationary trends. The inherent uncertainty in insurance claims makes it unlikely that historical data will be wholly predictive of the actual ultimate development of claims. The process of establishing realistic technical provisions requires a substantial measure of judgement. Actuarial methodology is used to develop triangulations to assist in calculating these provisions.

The risk margin is added to the best estimate liability. This additional margin is calculated in accordance with Article 37 of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/35 to ensure that the technical provisions as a whole are equivalent to the amount that an insurance undertaking is likely to require to take over the insurance obligations.

The key areas of uncertainty around technical provisions are as follows:

- i. Estimation of outstanding loss reserves ("OSLR"). Although these are reported claims, assessing the settlement cost is subject to some uncertainty.
- ii. Estimation of losses which have been incurred but not yet reported ("IBNR"). This is generally subject to a greater degree of uncertainty than estimating the OSLR since the detail of individual claims is not yet known. In general the IBNR is estimated by projecting 'best estimate' ultimate loss ratios using a combination of past experience and judgement, with actuarial input as needed, and calculating the difference between that ultimate figure, and reported losses. The technical provisions are discounted for timing of claim payments using the risk free rate with no volatility adjustment produced by EIOPA.
- iii. Incurred but not enough reported ("IBNER"). This allows under-reporting of the quantum of known loss events.

- iv. Estimation of claims arising in future periods ("unexpired risks"): This is uncertain as the losses have not yet been incurred.
- v. Market environment changes: These increase the inherent uncertainty affecting the business, in particular, claims inflation.
- vi. Events not in data ('ENID loading'): This is subject to considerable uncertainty as such events are not seen in the observable historical loss data. It also includes a loading for Binary events, loss-generating events with low frequency and high severity impact.
- vii. Run-off expenses: The estimation of these is inherently uncertain due the need to estimate the run-off period, base costs and inflation.
- viii. Risk margin: this is the margin payable to transfer the business to another insurance carrier. It is uncertain due to the requirement to forecast future solvency capital requirements over the run-off period.

The PCC manages these uncertainties by:

- i. Ongoing monitoring of claim events
- ii. Regular reviews of claims handling functions and reserving methodologies.
- iii. Internal controls through the Board and Actuarial Function which monitor claims development.
- iv. Bespoke Solvency II software
- v. Regular external actuarial reviews.

There have been no changes in the assumptions made since the previous period under either basis. There was no application of matching adjustment, volatility adjustment, transitional risk free market interest rate and transitional deductions.

3. Other liabilities

The other liabilities of PCC (separate for both Solo and Group) at 31st December 2019, on both Solvency II and IFRS basis, are shown below:

PCC Solo Other Liabilities at	31 st December 2	019	
	Solvency II Value €	Statutory Accounts €	Commentary
Deferred Tax Liabilities	216,957		See I below
Other Financial Liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	220,007		
Other Technical Provisions	78,158	78,158	See III below
Reinsurance Payables	360,042	360,042	See IV below
Trade Payables (Not Reinsurance)	11,715,414	11,750,877	See V below
Other Liabilities		9,375	See VI below
Total	12,370,571	12,198,452	

PCC GROUP Other Liabilities	at 31 st December 20	19	
	Solvency II €	Statutory €	Commentary
Deferred Tax Liabilities	43,824	-	See I below
Other Financial Liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	1,758,320	1,758,320	See II below
Other Technical Provisions			See III below
Reinsurance Payables	31,730	31,730	See IV below
Trade Payables (Not Reinsurance)	766,843	766,843	See V below
Other Liabilities		9,375	See VI below
Totals	2,600,717	2,566,268	

- Deferred Tax Liabilities: This item arises on the Solvency II balance sheet in respect of one cell and the core for which the technical provisions were lower under Solvency II than under IFRS, due to the adjustment between the two bases described above. In each case the SII reconciliation account (profit) was increased, thereby creating a potential additional tax liability over and above statutory tax liabilities.
- II. Other Financial Liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions: This amount is in respect of the holding company's (AIHL) loans from group companies. Valuation is the same under both bases.
- III. Other Technical Provisions: The amount shown on the IFRS balance sheet relates to commissions received for quota share reinsurance placements, but deferred, as they refer to unexpired periods of insurance. This item arises under IFRS because full unexpired premium is reserved, offset by related unexpired reinsurance outward premium, with deferred inward reinsurance commissions thereon being treated as a liability. However, under Solvency II, premium reserves are replaced by loss reserves for unexpired periods, offset by reinsurers share of those loss reserves. Therefore, unexpired premium, both inward and outward, and related deferred outward and reinsurance commissions, are ignored under Solvency II.
- IV. *Reinsurance payables:* These refer to premiums due to reinsurers. Valuation is the same under both bases.
- V. Trade payables: These include overheads and administration fees but mostly consist of taxes payable on profits made up to 31st December 2019. Valuation is the same under both bases for PCC Solo.
- VI. *Other liabilities* consist of client monies held as collateral against bonds issues, and deferred facility fee income in the core. Valuation is the same under both bases.

4. Any other disclosures

There are no further disclosures.

L. CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

1. Function of Capital Management

Own funds of the PCC and its constituent parts are managed as part of the financial and solvency management processes which are a focal point at every quarterly Board meeting and quarterly cell committee meeting.

As part of own fund management, and within its ORSA process during which risk and capital management functions interact, the PCC prepares annual solvency projections with three-year time horizons. As part of this process it reviews the structure of own funds to ensure that they will be both sufficient, and of the appropriate quality to satisfy future requirements.

2. Capital Management methods employed

The PCC is required to hold regulatory capital for its general insurance business in compliance with the Insurance rules issued by the Malta Financial Services Authority ("MFSA") which are founded on the EU wide Solvency II regime.

The minimum capital requirement must be maintained at all times throughout the period. The PCC monitors its capital level on a regular basis. The PCC's minimum capital requirement absolute floor stands at €3,700,000 as per Chapter 5 of Malta's Insurance Rules. At least this level of capital must be, and is, covered by eligible own funds in the core at all times. Further, the cells are individually required by their licensing conditions to meet their own solvency, as determined by their own risk profiles. However, in circumstances where an individual cell has not met its solvency requirements with cellular own funds, the shortfall is covered by the core surplus.

In terms of Legal Notice 412 of 2007 of the Companies Act (Cell Companies carrying on business of insurance), where any liability arises which is attributable to a particular cell:

- a. the cellular assets attributable to that cell shall be primarily used to satisfy the liability;
- b. the PCC's core assets shall be secondarily used to satisfy the liability, provided that the cellular assets attributable to the relevant cell have been exhausted; and
- c. any cellular assets not attributable to the relevant cell shall not be used to satisfy the liability.

The PCC has in place the following contractual safeguards, should this scenario arise:

- a. if the assets of a given cell are at any time insufficient to meet any payments, liabilities or obligations due or about to become due by the cell, the PCC shall notify the cell owners in writing and the cell owners shall ensure forthwith that the cell is adequately funded to meet all payments, liabilities or other obligations due or becoming due by the cell; and
- b. in the event that the cellular assets of the cell are exhausted, the cell owner agrees to indemnify the PCC for any assets paid or otherwise transferred to creditors of the cell.

In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the PCC may issue new shares or capitalise contributions received from its shareholders, both ordinary and cellular.

3. Own Funds - PCC Solo and PCC Group

- I. Own Funds Management Objectives
- To maintain, at all times, sufficient own funds, of the quality required by virtue of Article 82 of the Delegated Regulations, to cover the SCR and MCR of the core and each cell within the PCC with a good level of comfort.
- To ensure sufficient capital funding in order that the PCC, including its constituent cells may continue as a going concern;
- To safeguard policyholder interests arising from the PCC's contractual obligations;
- To provide adequate return for shareholders; and
- To ensure shareholder and other stakeholder interests are safeguarded at all times.
- II. Structure of Own Funds

The PCC & Group classify their Qwn Funds as tier 1, tier 2 or tier 3 depending on the characteristics of the capital. Tier 1 capital is the best form of capital for the purposes of absorbing losses. Only the PCC's tier 1 own funds may be used towards meeting the Minimum Capital Requirement.

		PCC Solo Own	Funds at	31 st	December 2019		
Solo Own Fund items	Tier	Solvency II Restricted Own Funds*	%		Own Funds Item Statutory/ IFRS	IFRS Own Funds	%
		€				E	
Ordinary and Cellular Share Capital	1	10,971,274	65%		Ordinary and Cellular Share Capital	11,543,618	47%
Reconciliation Reserve	1	3,131,916	18%		Retained Earnings	8,326,885	45%
Other Own Funds	1	2,684,301	16%		Other Reserves	3,175,846	8%
Ancillary Own Funds	2		0%				0%
Amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets	3	175,850	1%				0%
Total		16,963,341	100%		Total	23,046,349	100%

PCC Solo Own Funds at 31 December 2019 are as follows:

	€
IFRS Own Funds	23,046,349
Deferred Acquisition Costs (asset) disallowed under SII - see J1 above	(1,132,628)
Net increase in Technical Provision liabilities - see J2 above	1,001,171
Other Technical Provision liabilities - see J3 above	0 -
Increase/(Reduction) in net tax liabilities - see J3 above	23,638
Ancillary Own Funds - Issued but unpaid share capital	<u>0</u>
Solvency II unrestricted Own Funds	22,938,530
*Effect of restriction of Own Funds due to the adjustment in respect of ring	
fenced funds (RFF);	(5,975,190)
Solvency II restricted Own Funds	16,963,340

The Solvency II Own Funds and Total Equity under IFRS are reconciled as follows:

* This provision requires that each cells' funds be capped at 100% of own SCR. It is reflected in a reduction in the consolidated reconciliation reserve. The consolidated difference of €4,517,035 is noted under 5.i below, (QRT 23.01.01 (Solo), line R0740). The difference between €5,975,190 and €4,517,035 represents the own funds of the AIF cell. These are not counted as part of SII restricted own funds because the cell is no longer active and had no solvency capital requirement at 31st December 2019.

By comparison PCC Solo Own Funds as at 31st December 2018 were as follows:

Solo Own Fund items	Tier	Solvency II Restricted Own Funds*	%		Own Funds Item Statutory/IFRS	IFRS Own Funds	%
		E				E	
Ordinary and Cellular Share Capital	1	11,543,618	71%		Share Capital	11,543,618	47%
Reconciliation Reserve	1	1,797,228	11%	1.5%	Retained Earnings	11,002,768	45%
Other Own Funds	1	1,842,554	11%		Other Reserves	1,842,554	8%
Ancillary Own Funds	2	974,422	6%			0	0%
Amount equal to value of net deferred tax assets	3	133,950	1%			0	0%
Total		16,291,412	100%		Total	24,388,940	100%

The 2018 Solo Solvenc	y II Own Funds	and IFRS Total Equity	y under IFRS were reconciled as	follows:
-----------------------	----------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------	----------

PCC Solo – Reconciliation of SII Own Funds and IFRS Equity at 31 st De	cember 2018
IFRS Own Funds	24,388,940
Deferred Acquisition Costs (asset) disallowed under SII - see J1 above	-1,894,569
Net reduction in Technical Provision liabilities - see J2 above	1,332,627
Other Technical Provision liabilities deducted - see J3 above	59,953
Reduction in net tax liabilities - see J3 above	20,652
Ancillary Own Funds - Issued but unpaid share capital	974,422
Solvency II unrestriced Own Funds	24,882,025
*Effect of restriction of Own Funds due to the adjustment in respect of ring fenced funds (RFF);	-8,590,613
Solvency II restricted Own Funds	16,291,412

Group Own Funds at 31st December 2019 were as follows:

	PCC G	ROUP Own Fund	ds at 31s	t Dec	ember 2019		
Solo Own Fund items	Tier	Solvency II Restricted Own Funds*	%		Own Funds Item Statutory/IFRS	IFRS Own Funds	%
Ordinary and Cellular Share Capital	1	4,842,344	83%		Ordinary and Cellular Share Capital	4,842,344	67%
Reconciliation Reserve	1	2,532,967	43%		Retained Earnings	2,428,687	33%
Other Own Funds	1	-	0%				
Ancillary Own Funds	2						
Amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets	3	-					
Deductions for participations in other financial undertakings, including non-regulated undertakings carrying out financial activities #		(1,526,382)	-26%				
Total		5,848,929	100%		Total	7,271,031	100%

#This reduction in own funds relates to a solvency deficiency of a third party cell.

The 2019 Group Solvency II Own Funds and Total Equity under IFRS were reconciled as follows:

PCC Group – Reconciliation of SII Own Funds and IFRS Equity at 31st Decemi	per 2019
	€
IFRS Own Funds	5,744,650
Deferred Acquisition Costs (asset) disallowed under SII - see J1 above	(
Net (increase)/decrease in Technical Provision liabilities - see J2 above	282,450
Net (increase)/decrease in Other Technical Provisions liabilities - see J3 above	-122,33
Net (Increase)/decrease in deferred tax liabilities - see J3 above	-65,210
Ancillary Own Funds - Issued but unpaid share capital	
Solvency II restricted Own Funds	5,848,92

Comparative PCC Group Own Funds at 31st December 2018, were as follows:

	PCC C	GROUP Own Fun	ds at 31s	t De	cember 2018		
Solo Own Fund items	Tier	Solvency II Restricted Own Funds* €	%		Own Funds Item Statutory/IFRS	IFRS Own Funds €	%
Ordinary and Cellular Share Capital	1	4,842,344	96%		Ordinary and Cellular Share Capital	4,842,344	92%
Reconciliation Reserve	1	943,345	19%		Retained Earnings	1,563,221	30%
Other Own Funds	1	900,000	18%		Other Own Funds	900,000	17%
Ancillary Own Funds	2	370,000	7%				
Amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets	3	65,862	1%				
Deductions for participations in other financial undertakings, including non-regulated undertakings carrying out financial activities #		-2,058,428	-41%			-2,058,428	39%
Total		5,063,123	100%		Total	5,247,137	

#This reduction in own funds relates to a solvency deficiency of a third party cell.

At 31st December 2018, the Solvency II Own Funds and Total Equity under IFRS are reconciled as follows:

	€
IFRS Own Funds	5,247,137
Deferred Acquisition Costs (asset) disallowed under SII - see J1 above	-78,581
Net reduction (increase) in Technical Provision liabilities - see J2 above	-69,668
Other Technical Provision liabilities deducted - see J3 above	59,953
Reduction in net tax liabilities - see J3 above	30,831
Ancillary Own Funds - Issued but unpaid share capital	370,000
Solvency II Restricted Own Funds to cover Group Solvency Capital Requirement	5,063,123

These funds are fully available within the Group to cover its SCR. There are no known restrictions to the fungibility and transferability of such own funds to cover the Group's SCR, except to the extent that cellular funds are restricted to meeting the liabilies of the cell in question, in accordance with Malta's Protected Cell legislation, and are not available to meet other group liabilities.

Ancillary Own Funds

At 31st December 2019, the PCC had issued but unpaid ordinary share capital amounts of $\leq 370,000$ (core); $\leq 318,147$ (Freedom Health Cell) and $\leq 286,275$ (Autorama Cell). The PCC Solo ancillary own Funds were therefore $\leq 974,222$ at 31^{st} December 2019. The PCC Group ancillary own funds were $\leq 370,000$ at the same date. Ancillary Own Funds have not been taken into account for solvency purposes.

4. MCR and SCR

1. Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR) – PCC Solo and PCC Group

The SCR of the PCC Solo at as 31st December 2019 was €14,192,636, as broken down in the table below. Own funds available to cover the SCR were €21,480,376, restricted to €16,963,341 due to adjustment for restricted own fund items in respect of ring fenced funds (RFF), resulting in a ratio of eligible Own Funds to SCR of 119.52%. At 31st December 2018 the SCR of the PCC Solo was €13,382,099. Own funds available to cover the SCR were €24,882,025, restricted to €16,291,412, resulting in a ratio of eligible Own Funds to SCR of 121.74%.

Gross solvency capital requirement after allocation of RFF PCC Solo – SCR breakdown at 31/12/2018 adjustment 13,382,099 15,409,996 -5,534,519 13,382,099 9,607,618 6,588,659 -6,752,734 2,668,813 2,911,369 3,055,084 837,809 0 0 Gross solvency capital requirement before allocation of RFF adjustment 13,382,099 13,382,099 11,271,534 -7,922,220 18,078,809 -5,534,519 3,584,185 7,729,730 3,415,580 837,809 0 0 0 0 Commentary See III below See IV below See II below See III below See I below PCC Solo – SCR breakdown at 31/12/2019 Gross solvency capital requirement after allocation of RFF adjustment 13,134,879 14,192,636 14,192,636 18,219,663 -6,598,188 2,033,493 2,874,744 -6,940,885 7,117,432 1,824,821 746,340 0 0 0 Gross solvency capital requirement before allocation of RFF adjustment 14,450,425 14,192,636 14,192,636 20,044,484 6,598,188 2,237,161 3,162,669 7,830,291 -7,636,061 746,340 0 0 0 0 accordance with Art. 4 of Directive 2003/41/EC Loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions Adjustment due to RFF/MAP nSCR aggregation **Calculation of Solvency Capital Requirement** Capital requirement for business operated in Loss-absorbing capacity of deferred taxes **Basic Solvency Capital Requirement** Solvency capital requirement Life underwriting risk – n/a Non-life underwriting risk Counterparty default risk Health underwriting risk Intangible asset risk **Operational risk** Diversification Market risk

PCC Solo – Solvency Capital requirement at 31st December 2019, with comparative figures for 2018



51

Group Solvency

The SCR of the PCC Group at as 31st December 2019 was €2,322,717. Available Own Funds eligible to cover the SCR were €5,848,929 resulting in a ratio of eligible Own Funds to SCR of 251.81% on a Group consolidated basis. In 2018 the Group's SCR was €2,484,963 covered by eligible Own Funds of €5,063,123, providing a ratio of 203.75% for the Group in that year.

The PCC Group SCR is composed of the following risk modules:



	PCC Group SCR Breakdoswn at 31/12/2019		PCC Group SCR Breakdown at 31/12/2018
	Gross solvency capital requirement after allocation of RFF adjustment €	Commentary	Gross solvency capital requirement after allocation of RFF adjustment €
Market risk	2,211,147	See I Below	1,857,722
Counterparty default risk	488,712	See II below	898,245
Life underwriting risk			0
Health underwriting risk		The second	0
Non-life underwriting risk	777,389	See III below	825,364
Diversification	-736,440		-882,892
Intangible asset risk			
Basic Solvency Capital Requirement	2,740,808	S (790) 20%	2,698,439
Calculation of Solvency Capital Requirement			
Adjustment due to RFF/MAP nSCR aggregation	39,019		244,137
Operational risk	39,116		92,629
Loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions			
Loss-absorbing capacity of deferred taxes	-496,226		-550,242

PCC Group – Solvency Capital requirement at 31st December 2019

Solvency capital requirement	2,322,717	See IV below	2,484,963
Solvency capital requirement	2,522,/1/	See IV below	2,484,903

- I. Market Risk the PCC & Group are exposed to market risks derived predominately from the assets held by them to meet their insurance liabilities. although exposures to shocks in interest rates and currency rates also consider the exposure from underwriting risks. The material increase in the Solo market risk charge between 2018 and 2019 was mainly due to the increase in the loan of one cell back to its group. This loan was repaid in the first quarter of 2020. Also, with respect to both PCC and Group, some risk elements previously categorised under counterparty risks were recategorized under market risks.
- II. Counterparty Risk the PCC & Group are exposed to counterparty risks in the form of cash deposits (type 1) and from receivables from reinsurers, intermediaries, policyholders and other debtors (type 2). The reduction in Solo counterparty risk in 2019 over 2018 was largely down to lower bank balances due to increased group loan in one case. Also, with respect to both Solo and Group, some risk elements previously categorised under counterparty risks were recategorized under market risks.
- III. Health & Non-life Underwriting Risks the PCC & Group are exposed to non-life underwriting risk arising from the insurance policies the PCC sells. The risk is that premium and reserves will not be sufficient to pay ultimate claims, including from catastrophic events. For both, the movements over 2018 were in line with general business movements.
- IV. The final solvency capital requirements of the PCC & Group are the aggregations of their market, counterparty and non-life underwriting risks, reduced for diversification, and increased for operational risk exposures

The PCC or Group have not utilised simplified calculations in applying the standard model and there has been no use of undertaking specific parameters in the non-life underwriting risk calculations.

11. Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR) – PCC Solo

The MCR of the PCC Solo as at 31 December 2019 was €3,700,000. Eligible own funds to meet the MCR were €21,304,526, adjusted to €16,787,491 due to restriction of own fund items in respect of ring fenced funds (RFF). This resulted in a ratio of eligible own funds to MCR of 453.72%. In 2018 the restricted eligible own funds to meet the €3,700,000 Solo MCR were €15,183,400 resulting in a ratio of eligible own funds to MCR of 410.36% in that year.

The inputs used to calculate the MCR of the PCC Solo are as follows, with comparative figures shown for 2018:



MCR INPUTS - PCC SOLO	Net Best Estimate Technical Provisions (2019) €'000	Net Best Estimate Technical Provisions (2018) €'000	Net Written Premiums in the last 12 months (2019) €'000	Net Written Premiums in the last 12 months (2018) €'000
Medical expense	722	2,000	749	4,545
Income protection	2,126	2,302	6,616	5,452
Fire and other damage to property	49	19	112	45
Credit & suretyship		219	0	-981
Miscellaneous financial loss	1,854	1,594	13,439	12,244

	2019 €	2018 €
Linear MCR SCR	2,908 14,193	2,796 13,382
MCR cap	6,387	6,022
MCR floor	3,548	3,346
Combined MCR	3,548	3,346
Absolute floor of the MCR	3,700	3,700
Minimum Capital Requirement	3,700	3,700

The linear MCR and SCR have increased in line with business increases discussed elsewhere in this report.

III. Minimum Consolidated Group SCR – PCC Group

The MCR of the PCC Group as at 31 December 2019 was €3,700,000, unchanged from 2018. The PCC Group's (restricted) Own funds available to meet its MCR were €5,848,929, resulting in a ratio of eligible own funds to MCR of 158.08%, compared with €4,627,261 and an own funds ratio of 125.06% at 31st December 2018.

IV. Any other disclosures

There are no other disclosures.



Quantitative Reporting Templates

Own Funds – PCC Solo (S.23.01.01)

Basic own funds before deduction for participations in other financial sector as foreseen in article 68 of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/35

Ordinary share capital (gross of own shares) Share premium account related to ordinary share capital Initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own - fund item for mutual and mutual-type undertakings Subordinated mutual member accounts Surplus funds Preference shares Share premium account related to preference shares Subordinated liabilities An amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets An amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets

Other own fund items approved by the supervisory authority as basic own funds not specified above Own funds from the financial statements that should not be represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified as Solvency II own funds

Own funds from the financial statements that should not be represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified as Solvency II own funds

Deductions

Deductions for participations in financial and credit institutions

Fotal basic own funds after deductions

Ancillary own funds

Unpaid and uncalled ordinary share capital callable on demand Unpaid and uncalled initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own fund item for mutual and mutual - type undertakings, callable on demand

Unpaid and uncalled preference shares callable on demand

A legally binding commitment to subscribe and pay for subordinated liabilities on demand Letters of credit and guarantees under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC Letters of credit and guarantees other than under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC Supplementary members calls under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive 2009/138/EC



Supplementary members calls - other than under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive
Other ancillary own funds
Total ancillary own funds
Available and eligible own funds
Total available own funds to meet the SCR
Total available own funds to meet the MCR
Total eligible own funds to meet the SCR
Total eligible own funds to meet the MCR
SCR
MCR
Ratio of Eligible own funds to SCR
Ratio of Eligible own funds to MCR

Reconciliation reserve

Excess of assets over liabilities Own shares (held directly and indirectly) Foreseeable dividends, distributions and charges Other basic own fund items Adjustment for restricted own fund items in respect of match

Adjustment for restricted own fund items in respect of matching adjustment portfolios and ring fenced funds Reconciliation reserve

Expected profits

Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Life business Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Non- life business Total Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP)

			\mathbb{N}	176	\mathbb{N}	176			\mathbb{N}		\langle
			\mathbb{N}					\mathbb{N}	$\left \right\rangle$	\mathbb{N}	\mathbb{N}
X	X	\mathbb{N}						\mathbb{N}	\mathbb{N}	\mathbb{N}	\mathbb{N}
				16,787	16,787	16,787	16,787				
			X	16,963	16,787	16,963	16,787	14,193	3,700	1.1952	4.5372
R0370	R0390	R0400		R0500	R0510	R0540	R0550	R0580	R0600	R0620	R0640

	\mathbb{N}						\mathbb{N}			\mathbb{N}	
C0060	X	21,480			13,831	4,517	3,132	X			
		R0700	R0710	R0720	R0730	R0740	R0760	Y	R0770	R0780	R0790

II. Balance Sheet – PCC Solo (S.02.01.02)

At 31st December 2019

Annex I

	[Solvency II value
Assets		C0010
Intangible assets	R0030	
Deferred tax assets	R0040	393
Pension benefit surplus	R0050	
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	R0060	
Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts)	R0070	2,194
Property (other than for own use)	R0080	
Holdings in related undertakings, including participations	R0090	
Equities	R0100	51
Equities – listed	R0110	51
Equities – unlisted	R0120	
Bonds	R0130	372
Government Bonds	R0140	
Corporate Bonds	R0150	353
Structured notes	R0160	20
Collateralised securities	R0170	
Collective Investments Undertakings	R0180	
Derivatives	R0190	
Deposits other than cash equivalents	R0200	1,770
Other investments	R0210	
Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts	R0220	
Loans and mortgages	R0230	15,290
Loans on policies	R0240	
Loans and mortgages to individuals	R0250	
Other loans and mortgages	R0260	15,290
Reinsurance recoverables from:	R0270	656
Non-life and health similar to non-life	R0280	656
Non-life excluding health	R0290	
Health similar to non-life	R0300	656
Life and health similar to life, excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked	R0310	
Health similar to life	R0320	
Life excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked	R0330	
Life index-linked and unit-linked	R0340	
Deposits to cedants	R0350	
Insurance and intermediaries receivables	R0360	1,440
Reinsurance receivables	R0370	
Receivables (trade, not insurance)	R0380	224
Own shares (held directly)	R0390	
Amounts due in respect of own fund items or initial fund called up but not yet paid in	R0400	
Cash and cash equivalents	R0410	19,516
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	R0420	
Total assets	R0500	39,711
		Solvency II value
Liabilities		C0010
Technical provisions – non-life	R0510	5,860
Technical provisions – non-life (excluding health)	R0520	2,146

TP calculated as a whole	R0530	
Best Estimate	R0540	1,903
Risk margin	R0550	242
Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life)	R0560	3,714
TP calculated as a whole	R0570	
Best Estimate	R0580	3,503
Risk margin	R0590	211
Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)	R0600	
Technical provisions - health (similar to life)	R0610	
TP calculated as a whole	R0620	
Best Estimate	R0630	
Risk margin	R0640	
Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)	R0650	
TP calculated as a whole	R0660	
Best Estimate	R0670	
Risk margin	R0680	
Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linked	R0690	
TP calculated as a whole	R0700	
Best Estimate	R0710	
Risk margin	R0720	
Contingent liabilities	R0740	
Provisions other than technical provisions	R0750	
Pension benefit obligations	R0760	
Deposits from reinsurers	R0770	
Deferred tax liabilities	R0780	217
Derivatives	R0790	
Debts owed to credit institutions	R0800	
Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	R0810	
Insurance & intermediaries payables	R0820	78
Reinsurance payables	R0830	360
Payables (trade, not insurance)	R0840	11,715
Subordinated liabilities	R0850	
Subordinated liabilities not in BOF	R0860	
Subordinated liabilities in BOF	R0870	
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	R0880	
Total liabilities	R0900	18,231
Excess of assets over liabilities	R1000	21,480

		Medical	Income protection	Fire and other damage	Credit and suretyship	Miscellaneous	Total Non-Life
		insurance	insurance	to property insurance	insurance	Tinancial 1055	opiidacioii
Best estimate		V	V				
Premium provisions			X	X	X		
Gross	R0060	23,740	(1	15,822	Ĩ	899,773	939,335
Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0140	0	E	Ľ.	đ.	1	
Net Best Estimate of Premium Provisions	R0150	23,740	0	15,822	0	899,773	939,335
Claims provisions			X	X	X		
Gross	R0160	1,353,604	2,126,117	33,609	Ē	954,116	4,467,446
Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0240	655,537	1	1	1	Ē	655,537
Net Best Estimate of Claims Provisions	R0250	698,067	2,126,117	33,609	0	954,116	3,811,909
Total Best estimate - gross	R0260	1,377,344	2,126,117	49,431	0	1,853,889	5,406,781
Total Best estimate - net	R0270	721,807	2,126,117	49,431	1	1,853,889	4,751,244
Risk margin	R0280	29,192	181,756	8,192	r	234,142	453,282
Technical provisions - total			X				
Technical provisions - total	R0320	1,406,536	2,307,873	57,623	1	2,088,031	5,860,063
Recoverable from reinsurance contract/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default - total	R0330	655,537		£	Ē	T	655,537
Technical provisions minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total	R0340	750,999	2,307,873	57,623	I	2,088,031	5,204,526

Overall SCR Calculation – PCC Solo ≥.

Annex I

Solvency Capital Requirement - for undertakings on Standard S.25.01.21 Formula

	Gross solvency capital requirement	USP	Simplifications
	C0110	C0090	C0120
R0010	14,450		
R0020	2,237		
R0030	0		
R0040	3,163		
R0050	7,830		
R0060	-7,636		
R0070	0		
R0100	20.044		

Capital Requirement	
of Solvency C	
Calculation	

Basic Solvency Capital Requirement

Intangible asset risk Diversification

Non-life underwriting risk

Health underwriting risk Life underwriting risk

Counterparty default risk

Market risk

Operational risk
Loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions
Loss-absorbing capacity of deferred taxes
Capital requirement for business operated in accordance with Art. 4 of Directive 2003/41/EC
Solvency capital requirement excluding capital add-on
Capital add-on already set
Solvency capital requirement
Other information on SCR
Capital requirement for duration-based equity risk sub-module
Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirement for
Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring
fenced finds

Other information on SCR
Capital requirement for duration-based equity risk sub-module
Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirement for
remaining part
Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring
fenced funds
Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirement for
matching adjustment portfolios
Diversification effects due to RFF nSCR aggregation for article 304

V. MCR Calculation – PCC Solo (S.28.01.01)

Medical expense insurance and proportional reinsurance Income protection insurance and proportional reinsurance Fire and other damage to property insurance and proportional reinsurance Credit and suretyship insurance and proportional reinsurance Miscellaneous financial loss insurance and proportional reinsurance

2	
¢	2
1	2
calculation	1
	3
ŝ	ĩ
7	2
ž	3
	- 21
ρ	4
Ĉ	5
au	
aum	
II S	d
lloan	1 411
lloan	1 411
II S	1 411

. Linear MCR SCR MCR cap MCR floor Combined MCR Absolute floor of the MCR -Minimum Capital Requirement

R0300 R0310	C0070 2,908 14,193
R0320	6,387
R0330	3,548
R0340	3,548
R0350	3,700
R0400	3,700

1

.

٢	1	٩	J	
ï	2	÷	z	

	Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole
	C0020
R0020	722
R0030	2,126
R0080	49
R0100	0
R0130	1.854

Own Funds – PCC Group (S.23.01.22) ۲I.

Ł . B

	Total	unrestricted	restricted	Tier 2	Tier 3
	C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050
	$\left \right\rangle$	$\left \right\rangle$	$\left \right\rangle$	$\left \right\rangle$	$\left \right\rangle$
R0010	4,842	4,842			
R0020			\mathbb{N}		
R0030			\mathbb{N}		
R0040			$\left \right\rangle$		$\left \right\rangle$
R0050		\mathbb{N}			
R0060					
R0070				\mathbb{N}	\mathbb{N}
R0080			\mathbb{N}	\mathbb{N}	\mathbb{N}
R0090		\mathbb{N}			
R0100		\mathbb{N}			
R0110		\mathbb{N}			
R0120		\mathbb{N}			
R0130	2,533	2,533	\mathbb{N}	\mathbb{N}	$\left \right $
R0140					
R0150					
R0160				\mathbb{N}	
R0170		\mathbb{N}	$\left \right\rangle$	$\left \right $	
R0180					
R0190					
R0200					
R0210					
	$\left \right\rangle$	$\left \right\rangle$			
R0220			$\left \right\rangle$	$\left \right $	$\left \right $
	\mathbb{N}	\mathbb{N}	\mathbb{N}	\mathbb{N}	$\left \right\rangle$
R0230	1,526	1,526			
R0240					\mathbb{X}

whereof deducted according to art 228 of the Directive 2009/138/EC

8

1	
1/k	V
N	Ĵ

1.5808

64

Total eligible own funds to meet the Group SCR (including own funds from other financial sector and from the undertakings included via D&A) Group SCR

Ratio of Eligible own funds to Group SCR including other financial sectors and the undertakings included via D&A

Reconciliation reserve

Excess of assets over liabilities Own shares (included as assets on the balance sheet) Forseeable dividends, distributions and charges Other basic own fund items Adjustment for restricted own fund items in respect of matchi

Adjustment for restricted own fund items in respect of matching adjustment portfolios and ring fenced funds

Other non available own funds

Reconciliation reserve before deduction for participations in other financial sector

2.533

R0760

R0770 R0780 R0790

Expected profits

Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Life business Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Non- life business **Total EPIFP**

5,849		$\left \right\rangle$							X		
5,849	2,323	2.518	C0060	\mathbb{N}	7,375			4,842			
R0660	R0680	R0690			R0700	R0710	R0720	R0730	R0740	R0750	1



VII. Balance Sheet – PCC Group (S.02.01.02)

Balance sheet

	Solvency II value
	C0010
R0030	
R0040	
R0050	
R0060	
R0070	
R0080	
R0090	
R0100	
R0110	
R0120	
R0130	
R0140	
R0150	
R0160	
R0170	
R0180	
R0190	
R0200	
R0210	
R0220	
R0230	2,781
R0240	
R0250	
R0260	2,781
R0270	
R0280	
R0290	
R0300	
R0310	
R0320	
R0330	



R0340

R0350 R0360

Life index-linked and unit-linked Deposits to cedants Insurance and intermediaries receivables
Reinsurance receivables
Receivables (trade, not insurance)
Own shares (held directly) Amounts due in respect of own fund items or initial fund called up but not yet paid in
Cash and cash equivalents
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown
Total assets
Liabilities
Technical provisions – non-life
Technical provisions - non-life (excluding health)
TP calculated as a whole
Best Estimate
Risk margin
Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life)
TP calculated as a whole
Best Estimate
Risk margin
lechnical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)
Technical provisions - health (similar to life)
I r calculated as a whole Door Estimate
Best Estimate Risk margin
Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)
TP calculated as a whole
Best Estimate
Risk margin
Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linked
TP calculated as a whole
Best Estimate
Risk margin
Contingent liabilities

Solvency II value C0010

413 413

R0510

R0530

R0520

R0540 R0550 R0560

350

63

R0570 R0580

R0590 R0600 R0620 R0630

R0610

R0650

R0660 R0670 R0680 R0690

R0640

R0700

.

R0720 R0740

R0710

10,390

6,229

R0400

R0410

R0420

R0500

1,336

R0380 R0390

R0370

42

1	Ŀ	2	4	l

Provisions other than technical provisions	Pension benefit obligations	Deposits from reinsurers	Deferred tax liabilities	Derivatives	Debts owed to credit institutions	Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	Insurance & intermediaries payables	Reinsurance payables	Payables (trade, not insurance)	Subordinated liabilities	Subordinated liabilities not in BOF	Subordinated liabilities in BOF	Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	Total liabilities	Excess of assets over liabilities	Reinsurance or menucation payaouse Reinsurance payables Payables (trade, not insurance) Subordinated liabilities not in BOF Subordinated liabilities in BOF Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown Total liabilities
--	-----------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	-------------------	-----------------------------------	---

			44			1,758		32	767		17			3,014	7,375
R0750	R0760	R0770	R0780	R0790	R0800	R0810	R0820	R0830	R0840	R0850	R0860	R0870	R0880	R0900	R1000

VIII. Overall SCR Calculation – PCC Group

S.25.01.22

1

Solvency Capital Requirement - for Groups on Standard Formula

	Market risk Counterparty default risk Life underwriting risk Health underwriting risk Non-life underwriting risk Diversification Intangible asset risk Basic Solvency Capital Requirement	Calculation of Solvency Capital Requirement Operational risk Loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions Loss-absorbing capacity of deferred taxes Capital requirement for business operated in accordance with Art. 4 of Directive 2003/41/EC Solvency capital requirement excluding capital add-on Capital add-on already set Solvency capital requirement Solvency capital requirement Other information on SCR Capital requirement for trans sub-module Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for remaining part Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring fenced funds Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring fenced funds Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring fenced funds Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring fenced funds Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring fenced funds Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring fenced funds Diversification effects due to RFF nSCR aggregation for article 304	Minimum consolidated Group solvency capital requirement Information on other entities Capital requirement for other financial sectors (Non-insurance capital requirements) Capital requirement for other financial sectors (Non-insurance capital requirements) - Credit institutions, investment firms and financial institutions, alternative investment funds managers, UCITS management companies
--	--	---	---

Gross solvency capital requirement	USP	Simplifications
C0110	C0090	C0120
2,243		
496		
788		
-747		
2,780		

C0100	39	-496	2,323	2,323	2,236	87	3,700	
c			2		5		(m)	

69

-

Capital requirement for other financial sectors (Non-insurance capital requirements) - Institutions for occupational retirement provisions	R05
Capital requirement for other financial sectors (Non-insurance capital requirements) - Capital requirement for non-regulated entities carrying out financial activities	R05
Capital requirement for non-controlled participation requirements	R05
Capital requirement for residual undertakings Overall SCR	CUM
SCR for undertakings included via D and A	R05
Solvency capital requirement	R05

				X	2,323
--	--	--	--	---	-------

